Supplemental Information 2. An illustrated guide of morphological characters for turtles of the clade Pleurodira (Testudines: Pan-Pleurodira) turtles.

Introduction

The body plan of turtles can be considered as a unique masterpiece in vertebrate evolution, by its particular anatomy and physiology (see (Hirasawa et al. 2013; Hirasawa et al. 2014; Lyson et al. 2014) references therein). The turtle skeleton is formed by a cranium (skull, lower jaw, and hyoid apparatus) composed of approximately 46 bones and 282 axial skeletal bones (including limbs, neck, tail, pelvic and pectoral girdles, carapace and plastron)(Pritchard 2008). This complex anatomical configuration and all its possible variations in shape and number of bones are related not only to lineages diversity, but also to environmental, climatic, and geographical adaptations through out their almost 229 Ma of evolution; making the reconstruction of turtles evolutionary history and their phylogenetic relationships a challenging labor for biologists and paleontologists. Labor that can be even more tedious if different authors work with different morphological terminology and definitions to refer to the same structure or features. A good example of this was recently discussed by (Rabi et al. 2013), pointing out how through years, different authors have used different names to refer to the same carotid arteria foramina, creating not only confusion and misunderstanding, but also erroneous scoring in phylogenetic studies.

In order to set up a common and practical morphological terminology, not only for researchers in the field of turtle morphology, taxonomy, and phylogenetics, but also for students and future turtle researchers. I present here an illustrated guide of morphological characters with importance for phylogenetic studies of Pan-Pleurodira, principally Bothremydidae and Podocnemididae clades, based on the compilation, modification, and discussion of previous studies (see Session 1 below for references and abbreviations), as well as new insights from direct examination of a good number of specimens (See Supp. Inf. 3, list 1). Panpleurodires or side-necked turtles includes a highly diverse group of extant and extinct turtles, currently restricted to freshwater environments of the southern hemisphere (South America, Africa, Madagascar, and Australia), but globally spread during the Cretaceous and most of the Cenozoic (Cadena & Joyce 2015; Cadena et al. 2012a; Gaffney et al. 2011;2006).

Guide organization

This guide is organized in two main sessions. The first one is a list of 187 morphological characters, with their corresponding definitions, abbreviated reference(s) from where they were taken, and remarks if they were reworded, reorganized, combined or any other additional information or discussion. The second session corresponds to the graphical component of this guide, for which each character is figured in 36 composite plates, including all its possible character states, using a configured template in Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Fig. 1, session 2 of this appendix), see also (Supp. Inf. 4, for compressed file containing all .eps files for each character, facilitating modification of states or addition of new characters by future studies)

Session 1. Characters list, description, and discussions.

Characters described here have been taken, modified or added from previous global turtles or Pan-Pleurodira only studies or character lists (Bardet et al. 2013; Cadena 2010; Cadena et al. 2012a, 2012b; Cadena et al. 2013; Cadena and Parham, 2015; Gaffney et al. 2006, 2011; Hirayama 1994,1998; Joyce 2007; Joyce et al. 2011; Kear & Lee 2006; Lapparent de Broin 2000; Parham & Pyenson 2010; Pérez-García & Lapparent de Broin 2008; Sterli & de la Fuente 2013). Characters excluded from previous studies are found at the end of the session, principally characters that represent exclusive autapomorphies or represent redundant characters, reasons for exclusion are presented there too. See also Supp. Inf. 2 for the NEXUS file containing the character-taxon matrix for Pleurodira using the character list described below, as well as the changing in scoring presented at the end of this session.

Abbreviations for references used in the character list.

- **BR** (Bardet et al., 2013)
- **CA1** (Cadena et al., 2010)
- **CA2** (Cadena et al., 2012a)
- **CA3** (Cadena et al., 2012b)
- **CA4** (Cadena et al., 2013)
- GF1 (Gaffney et al., 2006)
- **GF2** (Gaffney et al., 2011)
- **HY1** (Hirayama, 1994)
- HY2 (Hirayama, 1998)
- **JY1** (Joyce, 2007)
- **JY2** (Joyce et al., 2011)
- KL (Kear and Lee, 2006)
- LA (Lapparent de Broin, 2000)
- **PH** (Parham and Pyenson, 2010)
- **PE** (Pérez-García and Lapparent de Broin, 2013)
- **ST** (Sterli, 2008)
- **STF** (Sterli and de la Fuente 2013)

Skull

- 1. Nasals: 0 = present; 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 1); JY1 & STF (ch 1, Nasal A). HY2, KL, BR (ch 2).
- 2. Nasals, medial contact of nasals: 0 = nasals contact one another medially along their entire length; 1 = medial contact of nasals partially or fully hindered by long anterior frontal process. **JY1 & STF (ch 2)**.
- 3. Prefrontals, medial contact of prefrontals on the dorsal skull surface: 0 = absent; 1 = present, absence of contact between the nasal or aperture narium externa and the frontal. GF1 (ch 4); JY1 & STF (ch 4, Prefrontal A), HY2, KL, BR (ch 3).
- 4. Prefrontals, preorbital skull broad: 0 = narrow, equal or less than 90°

approximately; 1 = very broad, major than 90°. **GF1 (ch 5).** *Remarks:* values of angles were added to the states.

- 5. Prefrontals, anterior overhang onto aperture narium externa: 0 = overhang partially to completely covering the aperture, formed by the nasals; 1 = formed by prefrontals, covering a small portion of the posterior region of the aperture, ending in acute medial tip; 2 = formed by prefrontals, completely covering the aperture, ending in a straight to convex edge. CA2 (ch 5); GF1 (ch 6). *Remarks:* character reworded.
- 6. Prefrontals, prefrontal-palatine contact: 0 = present; 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 7); JY1
 & STF (ch 6, Prefrontal C). *Remarks:* this character is visible in dorsal or lateral views when orbital cavities are well preserved and free of rock matrix or without strong crushing or deformation effect.
- Prefrontals, prefrontal-vomer contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 8); JY1 & STF (ch 5, Prefrontal B). *Remarks:* this character is visible in sagittal cut of the skull, limiting the scoring in many fossils.
- 8. Prefrontals, prefrontal-parietal contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **GF1 (ch 10)**.
- 9. Prefrontals, interorbital groove at medial contact between prefrontals: 0 = absent; 1 = present. CA1 (ch 9); GF2 (ch 3); and LA (without number).
- 10. Prefrontals, prefrontal-frontal anterodorsal shape in lateral view: 0 =flat to slightly convex; 1 =strongly convex. **GF2 (ch 4).**
- 11. Frontals-prefrontals, direction of the orbits in dorsal view of the skull: 0 = laterally facing, with a very narrow to almost complete absent dorsal exposure of the maxilla and jugal; 1 = dorsolateral facing, with portions of the maxilla and jugal dorsally exposed. **GF1 (ch 11).**
- 12. Frontals, foramen interorbitale: 0 = high, circular to oval in shape; 1 = low, much wider than height. **GF1 (ch 12).**
- Parietal, parietal-quadratojugal contact: 0 = absent; 1 = short; 2 = long. GF1 (ch 13).
- 14. Parietal, temporal emargination in dorsal and lateral views: 0 = absent, complete roofing of the fossa temporalis, with straight to slightly concave posterior margin of parietals, squamosal, and supratemporal bones, keeping a very low posterior skull, compared to the orbital region, observed in lateral view; 1 = intermediate, partial roofing of the fossa temporalis, with concave to straight posterior margin of parietals and squamosal bones, covering partially the otic chamber, slightly higher posterior portion of the skull, compare to the orbital region; 2 = extremely reduced, almost complete roofing of the fossa temporalis, with slightly convex posterior margins of parietals and squamosals, and much higher posterior portion of the skull compare to the orbital region. GF1 (ch 14); CA2 (ch 6). Remarks: this character is redefined here considering (Lapparent de Broin 2000)(secondary roofing of the fossa temporalis) and (Gaffney et al. 2006) (temporal emargination); to do so I added to the states defined in (Cadena et al. 2012) the variations in height of the posterior portion of the skull and switch states 1 and 2 of (Gaffney et al. 2006)(ch 14).
- 15. Parietals, parietal-squamosal contact: 0 = present, upper temporal emargination absent or poorly developed; 1 = absent, upper temporal emargination well developed. **GF1 (ch 15); JY1 (ch 11) & STF (ch 12) (Parietal A); HY2, KL,**

BR (ch 7).

- 16. Parietals, parietal-pterygoid contact with the processus trochlearis pterygoidei: 0 = absent, restricted to the processus inferior parietalis; 1 = present, ventral process of the parietal reaches pterygoid on lateral side of sulcus palatinopterygoideus, very close to the base of processus trochlearis pterygoidei. **GF1 (ch 16)**. *Remarks:* as pointed out by (Gaffney et al. 2006) this is a character very difficult to observe in fossils, depending of preservation, but CT images can help on its observation.
- 17. Parietals, sulcus palatinopterygoides: 0 = absent; 1 = present and high; 2 = present and low due to thick parietal and postorbital roof. **GF1 (ch 17).**
- 18. Parietals, enters orbital margin in dorsal view: 0 = no; 1 = yes. **GF1 (ch 18).**
- 19. Parietals, interparietal scute shape: 0 = absent; 1 = equilateral triangle; 2 = elongated triangle with straight to convex anterior margin; 3 = slightly elongated heart-like shape; 4 = parallel lateral sides, reaching the posterior margin of the parietals; 5 = broad posteriorly, reaching the posterior margin of the parietals; 6 = equilateral triangle elongated, with anterior margin posterior to the frontal-parietal sutural contact. **GF2 (ch 8)**. *Remarks:* many fossil turtles do not preserved the sulci of scutes, see discussion about this character in (Lapparent de Broin et al. 2014) (suppl. Mat. 1 p. 16). In pleurodires, particularly in podocnemidids the sulci indicating the shape of the interparietal scute are usually well preserved. I added two extra states (state 3 and 6), which are particular shapes present in some podocnemidids. Testudines with very complex skull scutes pattern as for example *Chelus fimbriata* are code as not applicable (-) in the matrix.
- 20. Parietals, parietal scutes meeting medially: 0 = present; 1 = absent. New character.
- 21. Jugals, jugal retracted from orbital margin: 0 = jugals enters orbital margin; 1 = jugal slightly retracted; 2 = jugal widely retracted. **GF1 (ch 20).**
- 22. Jugals, jugal narrow dorsoventrally: 0 = absent, large exposure on the cheek; 1 = present, exposure in the cheek is long horizontally and short vertically. **GF1 (ch 21).**
- 23. Jugals, jugal-quadrate contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 22); HY2, KL & BR (ch 9).
- 24. Jugals, exposure on triturating surface in ventral view of the skull: 0 = absent; 1 = large. **GF1 (ch 23).**
- 25. Jugals, cheek emargination: 0 = almost absent, forming a straight line dominated by the ventral edge of jugal; 1 = very advanced, exposing completely the fossa temporalis; 2 = moderate, reaching almost the level of the most dorsal margin of the orbit; 3 = slightly moderate, reaching the half level of the orbit, with an advance ventral descending contribution of jugal and quadratojugal; 4 = very shallow, complete ventral descending of jugal, resulting in a contact between this bone and the quadrate; 5 = very shallow, formed by a posterior projection of the maxilla, resulting in a contact between this bone and the maxilla, occasionally separated by a very narrow fissure. Also the jugal has a contact with the quadrate, but it is exclude it from the most ventral margin of the cheek emargination. CA2 (ch 18); GF2 (ch 11); GF1 (ch 39); JY1 (ch 15) & STF (ch 21) (Jugal B). *Remarks:* character combined and redefined from (Cadena et al. 2012) and (Gaffney et al. 2011) state characters have been reordered. Character 39 (maxilla-

quadrate contact) of (Gaffney et al. 2006) is clearly better defined as a state of the cheek emargination character. The condition of a narrow fissure separating the maxilla from the quadrate (State 2, GF1 (ch 39), considered by (Gaffney et al. 2006) as unique for *Phosphatochelys* and *Ummulisani* it is actually variable in *Phosphatochelys* as the same authors show in (fig 199 E), a specimen lacking the fissure and having a contact between the maxilla and quadrate. I include state 2 of GF1 (ch 39) in the definition of state 5 here.

- 26. Jugals, jugal-parietal contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. CA2 (ch 10); GF2 (ch 10).
- 27. Squamosals, posterior projection: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 24); STF (ch 28, Squamosal D).
- 28. Squamosals, posteroventral vertical flange: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **GF1 (ch 25).**
- 29. Squamosals, lateral tubercle: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **GF1 (ch 26), GF2 (ch 13).**
- 30. Postorbitals, fossa orbitalis posterior enlargement: 0 = absent, orbital ring almost same size as the medial margin of the fossa orbitalis 1 = present, much larger than the orbital ring. **GF1 (ch 27).**
- 31. Postorbitals, septum orbitotemporale: 0 = absent; 1 = present, thick septum; 2 = present, but laterally thin creating a partially open septum. **GF1 (ch 28).**
- 32. Postorbitals, length versus width: 0 = slightly longer that wide; 1 = three times or more longer than wide; 2 = small, almost equal length vs. width. **GF1 (ch 29)**. *Remarks:* (Gaffney et al. 2006) defined this character in terms of size, however the states were defined only respect to the length of the postorbital, then in (Gaffney et al. 2011) the states were defined in terms of size of the postorbital versus size of the orbit, here I precise better the states defining them comparatively with the width too.
- 33. Premaxillae, protrude anteriorly beyond labial ridge: 0 = no, or slightly forming a vertical to slightly incline wall; 1 = yes, projects anteriorly, specially visible in ventral view. **GF1 (ch 30).**
- 34. Premaxillae, midline depression: 0 = absent, shallow, or indistinct; 1 = distinct and wide, open U-like shaped; 2 = distinct but narrow, V-like shaped. GF1 (ch 31).
- 35. Premaxillae, dorsal sulcus: 0 = absent, smooth dorsal surface of the premaxilla; 1 = present, sulcus parallel to margin of the aperture narium externa. **GF1 (ch 33).**
- 36. Premaxillae, premaxilla-apertura narium interna contact: 0 = absent, usually because of a presence of vomer or a medial contact between maxillae in ventral view; 1 = present. **GF2 (ch 14); JY1 (ch 25) & STF (ch 35) (Premaxilla D).**
- 37. Maxillae, triturating surface: 0 = relatively narrow, parallel sides; 1 = triangular, wider posteriorly than anteriorly; 2 = triangular, very wide posteriorly. GF1 (ch 34).
- 38. Maxillae, triturating surface pits: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **GF1 (ch 35).**
- 39. Maxillae, accessory ridge on triturating surface: 0 = absent; 1 = present, one ridge; 2 = present, two ridges. GF1 (ch 36); GF2 (ch 20); STF (ch 41, Maxilla E). *Remarks:* combined character from GF1 (ch 36) presence or absence of the ridge and GF2 (ch 20) number of ridges presence.
- 40. Maxillae, maxilla-quadratojugal contact: 0 = absent, quadratojugal present; 1 = present; 2 = absent, quadratojugal absent. GF1 (ch 38); JY1 (ch 17) & STF (ch 23) (Quadratojugal B).

- 41. Maxillae, orbitonarial bar width: 0 = roughly equal to or slightly less than diameter of orbit; 1 = wider than the orbit; 2 = more than twice orbital diameter; 3 = very narrow, much less than diameter of orbit. **GF1 (ch 40).**
- 42. Maxillae, ventral rim of the orbit: 0 = very distinct margin; 1 = rim absent, gradually continuous slope. **GF1 (ch 42).**
- 43. Maxillae-premaxillae, medial expansion of triturating surface: 0 = absent, wide concavity at the midline; 1 = present, forming the medial maxillary ridge; 2 = secondary palate with midline cleft.**GF2 (ch 16).**
- 44. Maxillae-palatines, secondary palate length: 0 = secondary palate absent; 1 = relatively short (palate length/skull length less than 0.6), posterior edge of second palate does not reach the basisphenoid level; 2 = long (palate length/skull length more than 0.7), second palate reaches the basisphenoid level. **GF2 (ch 17)**. *Remarks:* I added an additional state for the complete absence of the secondary palate, and also added to the definition of states 1 and 2 the ending level of the secondary palate versus the basisphenoid.
- 45. Maxillae, labial ridge height and width: 0 = high and narrow; 1 = low and thick. **GF2 (ch 19).**
- 46. Vomer, vomer bone: 0 = present, paired; 1 = present; 2 = absent. **GF1 (ch 45);** JY1 (ch 26) & STF (ch 42) (Vomer).
- 47. Vomer, central bar: 0 = sutured at both ends; 1 = very thin, attached anteriorly only or with a just touching posterior contact. **GF1 (ch 47)**. *Remarks:* state 1 was modified to include the occasional occurrence of the vomer in *Podocnemis vogli*, which exhibits similar condition as in *Azabbaremys moragjonesi*.
- 48. Palatines, foramen palatinum posterius: 0 = in floor of the orbit; 1 = behind the orbit, in floor of sulcus palatinopterygoideus. **GF1 (ch 48).**
- 49. Palatines, dorsally arched palate: 0 = absent, palate low to almost flat; 1 = present, extremely arched. **GF1 (ch 49).**
- 50. Palatines, palatine contribution to upper triturating surface: 0 = absent or narrow generally forming a very small triangle; 1 = moderate, large triangular shape; 2 = large, palatine contributes with more than 50% of the width of the posterolateral edge of the triturating surface and it is long anteriorly projected. **GF1 (ch 50) GF2 (ch 24).**
- 51. Palatines, medial edges of palatal cleft: 0 = cleft absence; 1 = medial edges curved; 2 = medial edges parallel. **GF2 (ch 23).** *Remarks*: I switched states 1 and 2, because what this character is showing is the medial advance of second palate.
- 52. Palatines, dorsal process of palatine contacts parietal in septum orbitotemporale: 0 = no, 1 = yes. **GF2 (ch 25)**. *Remarks*: very well preserved skulls or broken at the lateral temporal region are required to observe this character
- 53. Palatines, dorsal process of palatine contacts frontal in septum orbitotemporale: 0 = no; 1 = yes. **GF2 (ch 26)**. *Remarks*: character visible in anterior view, looking inside the orbits.
- 54. Palatines, palatine-basisphenoid contact: 0 = no; 1 = yes, completely separating pterygoids. **GF2 (ch 28).**
- 55. Quadrates, antrum postoticum: 0 = absent, open incisura columellae auris; 1 = present, small; 2 = absent, closed incisura columellae auris; 3 = present, moderate to large. GF1 (ch 51); Quadrate E), STF (ch 53, Antrum postoticum A).

- 56. Quadrates, cavum tympani, arrangement between the quadrate, squamosal, opisthotic, stapes and Eustachian tube: 0 = no posterior bone restrictions, cavum tympani absent; 1 = cavum tympani well defined but open posteriorly, incisura columella auris posteroventrally open without bone restrictions; 2 = cavumtympani slightly open posteriorly. Eustachian tube and stapes separated by bone or a very narrow fissure; 3 = cavum tympani with just a very narrow posterior aperture, Eustachian tube and stapes enclosed by bone, incisura columella auris circular to slightly oval in shape posteriorly directed (seen in lateral view); 4 = cavum tympani completely closed with posterior acute tip, Eustachian tube and stapes enclosed by bone, incisura columella auris oval elongated in shape and ventrally directed (seen in lateral view). CA2 (ch 15); GF1 (ch 52 & ch 53); JY2 (ch 37) & STF (ch 53) (Quadrate F). Remarks: an additional state for meiolaniforms, where the Eustachian tube and stapes are enclosed by bone, with the contribution of the squamosal defined by (Sterli & de la Fuente 2013) is excluded here for being uninformative for the scope of Pan-Pleurodiran evolution.
- 57. Quadrates, ventral process of the sulcus Eustachii: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 54). *Remarks:* this character is visible in lateral and even better in posterolateral view of the skull.
- 58. Quadrates, groove on incisura columella auris ridge: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **GF1** (ch 55).
- 59. Quadrates, fossa precolumellaris: 0 = very small to absent; 1 = present, but very shallow; 2 = present, deep and well defined. **GF1 (ch 56).**
- 60. Quadrates, medial process contacting braincase elements and underlying cranioquadrate space: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **GF1 (ch 58).**
- 61. Quadrates, quadrate-basioccipital contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **GF1 (ch 59).**
- 62. Quadrates, condylus mandibularis position: 0 = near or in line with the basioccipital-basisphenoid suture; 1 = distinctly anterior to plane of basioccipital-basisphenoid suture; 2 = distinctly posterior to condylus occipitalis. **GF1 (ch 60).**
- 63. Quadrates, condylus mandibularis shape: 0 = much wider than long, with anterior and posterior edges straight to concave making it shorter at midline; 1 = slightly wider than long, in a "kidney bean" shape, with anterior edge straight to concave and posterior edge convex. **CA1 (ch 31).**
- 64. Quadrates, ventral process: 0 =absent to very short; 1 = moderate, condylus separate from the cavum tympani ventral margin level; 2 = long, condylus mandibularis considerably separate from the cavum timpani region. CA1 (ch 18).
- 65. Pterygoids, cavum pterygoidei: 0 = absent; 1 = present, shallow to moderately deep; 2 = very deep, delimited posteriorly by a well defined ridge of the medial process of the quadrate. CA2 (ch 26); GF1 (ch 68 & ch 69); GF2 (ch 35); STF (ch 69, Pterygoid K). *Remarks:* (Gaffney et al. 2006) considered two separate characters for the concavity formed by the pterygoid, quadrate, basisphenoid and prootic, which is associated with the pterygoideus muscle and some of the main arteries entering the braincase, including the carotid. The two characters are: fossa pterygoidea (ch 68) and cavum pterygoidei (ch 69) pointing out that these two concavities are not homologous as was considered previously by (Lapparent de Broin 2000) (podocnemidoid fossa) and that were independently acquired by bothremydids (fossa pterygoidea) and podocnemidids plus *Brasilemys*, and

Hamadachelys (cavum pterygoidei). In (Gaffney et al. 2011) the difference between the fossa pterygoidea and cavum pterygoidei is expressed with an additional character (character 36), which deals with the anterior opening of this concavity (absent for the fossa, and present with several states for the cavum). Considering that the purpose of this study is to define a general character list for all Pan-Pleurodirans, these are my considerations about the fossa pterygoidea versus cavum pterygoidei. First of at all the definition of both characters is literally ambiguous in (Gaffney et al. 2006) because the words cavum and fossa mean in Latin exactly the same "hole" or "cavity". Secondly, the bones involved, position in the skull, as well as the function of the concavity are identical for both bothremydids and podocnemidoids, making the split of the character not well supported anatomically speaking, and finally variations in the roofing of the cavity, and size of the anterior opening are related to the degree of evolution of the character and can be expressed in a second character as I define it here.

- 66. Pterygoids, cavum pterygoidei flooring and anterior opening: 0 = uncovered by the pterygoid or basisphenoid, anteriorly closed by the pterygoid bone; 1 = slightly covered anteromedially by the basisphenoid and pterygoid, anterior opening small; 2 = partially to fully covered by the pterygoid flange, anterior opening moderate: 3 = partially to fully covered by the pterygoid flange, anterior opening large, foramen cavernosum opens in roof of cavum pterygoidei. **GF2 (ch 35 and ch 36).** *Remarks:* this character is a combination of character 35 and 36 from (Gaffney et al. 2011).
- 67. Pterygoids, pterygoid flange: 0 = absent; 1 = partially developed; 2 = well developed, covering the cavum pterygoidei and projected ventrally. CA1 (ch 28); GF2 (ch 37).
- 68. Pterygoids, processus trochlearis pterygoidei: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 70); GF2 (ch 38); JY1 (ch 42) & STF (ch 63) (Pterygoid E).
- 69. Pterygoids, processus trochlearis pterygoidei lateral margin: 0 = very inclined compared with the horizontal axis of the processus; 1 = low inclination compared with the horizontal axis of the processus. **GF2 (ch 38)**. *Remarks:* (Gaffney et al. 2011) combined this character in a single one together with the presence/absence of processus trochlearis pterygoidei. Splitting the character in two, as I do here, keeps separate and without several states one of the principal synapomorphies of Pan-Pleurodirans.
- 70. Pterygoids, trigeminal ridge: 0 = absent; 1 = thin, creating a shallow canal along the lateral margin of the pterygoid and medial of the quadrate. **GF1 (ch 72)**. *Remarks:* a ridge a long the quadrate process of the pterygoid is present in many turtles, but the trigeminal ridge defined by (Gaffney et al. 2006) present in the subgroup Taphrosphyina (Bothremydidae) is very thin and I added to the definition that it is accompanied by a shallow canal along the quadrate and basisphenoid, reason because it is very thin.
- 71. Pterygoids, foramen posterius canalis carotici interni (fpcci): 0 = absent; 1 = present. **New character**. *Remarks*: I split character 74 from (Gaffney et al. 2006) in two here, presence/absence and position of the foramen (character 72, this study).
- 72. Pterygoids, position of the foramen posterius canalis carotici interni (fpcci): 0 = in

basisphenoid or basisphenoid-pterygoid suture; 1 = in prootic or close to prooticbasisphenoid suture; 2 = in quadrate or quadrate-pterygoid suture; 3 = in pterygoid-basisphenoid-quadrate suture. **GF1 (ch 74); GF2 (ch 49); JY1 (ch 56, Canalis Caroticum A); STF (ch 100, Canalis Caroticum G).** *Remarks:* the terminology of the carotid foramina has been recently redefined by (Rabi et al. 2013) pointing out that the fpcci is absent in basal turtles and paracryptodires, and the foramen present at the basisphenoid of these turtles it is actually the foramen posterius canalis carotici cerebralis, this makes that the original states defined for this character in (Gaffney et al. 2006) need to be update as I described above.

- 73. Pterygoids, posterior margin of pterygoid forms part of foramen posterius canalis carotici interni: 0 = no, pterygoid does not enter/touch the foramen; 1 = yes, pterygoid do enter/touch the anterior margin of foramen. **GF1 (ch 75).**
- 74. Pterygoids, foramen posterius canalis carotici palatinum (fpccp) (previously known as foramen caroticum laterale): 0 = present; 1 = absent. **GF1 (ch 76)**. *Remarks:* see (Rabi et al. 2013) for the definition of the foramina related to carotid arteries. For crown-Testudines this character can only be visible on the dorsal surface of the pterygoid, limiting the number of fossil specimens that can be scored.
- Pterygoids, midline contact: 0 = midline contact of pterygoids relatively long; 1 = midline contact very short. GF1 (ch 77); JY1 (ch 44) & STF (ch 65) (Pterygoid G).
- 76. Pterygoids, processus pterygoideus externus: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch
 72); JY1 (ch 67) (Pterygoid I).
- 77. Epipterygoid, epipterygoid bone between the parietal and pterygoid: 0 = present; 1 = absent. **GF1 (ch 78); JY2 (ch 37) & STF (ch 57) (Epipterygoid A).**
- 78. Supraoccipital, supraoccipital-quadrate contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 79).
- 79. Supraoccipital, crista supraoccipitalis: 0 = very short to absent; 1 = moderate to very long. GF1 (ch 80), GF2 (ch 40); JY1 (ch 46) & STF (ch 72) (Supraoccipital A); HY2, KL, & BR (ch 28). *Remarks:* I consider the crista supraoccipitalis in three separate characters combined and redefined from previous studies. The first one deals with the presence or absence of the crista (Character 78). The second deals with the presence or absence of the horizontal plate (Character 79), a synapomorphic character for Podocnemidae sensu (Cadena et al. 2012) following also (Gaffney et al. 2011) who also pointed out that the horizontal plate of the crista supraoccipitalis is lost in *Erymnochelys* and *Peltocephalus*, however after the direct examination of important number of specimens for these two species (see supplemental information 5), I conclude that the absence of the horizontal plate is actually atypical condition for both species. The last character of the crista supraoccipitalis is related with the shape of the lateral expansion of the horizontal plate (Character 80).
- 80. Supraoccipital, crista supraoccipitalis horizontal plate along its ventral edge and posterior ending level: 0 = horizontal plate absent, crista ending before the most posterior tip of squamosals; 1 = horizontal plate present, crista goes beyond the most posterior end of squamosals; 2 = horizontal plate present, crista goes beyond the most posterior end of squamosals but dorsally covered by the posterolateral

expansion of parietals (very shallow temporal emargination). **GF1 (ch 40)**. *Remarks:* see remarks Character 78. The third state considers the condition in taxa with very shallow to almost absent temporal emargination, as for example *Dacquemis paleomorpha* see (Gaffney et al. 2002) (fig 2).

- 81. Supraoccipital, crista supraoccipitalis lateral expansion of the horizontal plate: 0 = uniform width from the anterior to the posterior aspect, ending in an acute to rounded tip in dorsal view; 1 = short, wider posteroventrally than anteroventrally, ending in a bulbous-like shape in dorsal view. **CA1 (ch 12); STF (ch 74, Supraoccipital C).** *Remarks:* see remarks Character 79.
- 82. Supraoccipital, dorsal exposure on skull roof: 0 = absent; 1 = present, small; 2 = present, large. **GF2 (ch 39); JY2 (ch 49) & STF (ch 73) (Supraoccipital B).**
- 83. Exoccipitals, foramen jugulare posterius: 0 = not formed in bone; 1 = formed by bone and open or partially closed; 2 = completely closed by bone. **GF1 (ch 82).**
- 84. Exoccipitals, condylus occipitalis: 0 = formed by the basioccipital plus both exoccipitals; 1 = exoccipitals only, medial contact between the exoccipitals in ventral view. **GF1 (ch 84).**
- 85. Exoccipitals, exoccipital-quadrate contact: 0 = absent; 1 = extensive, prootic absent; 2 = narrow, prootic present; 3 = narrow, prootic absent. **GF1 (ch 85).**
- 86. Exoccipitals, ventral process: 0 = absent to very short allowing a contact between the basioccipital and quadrate in ventral view of the skull; 1 = present, exoccipital reaches the basisphenoid, avoiding a basioccipital-quadrate contact in ventral view of the skull. **GF1 (ch 86)**. *Remarks:* (Gaffney et al. 2011) pointed out that the ventral process of the exoccipitals is a unique condition for euraxemydids, however some bothremydids have also a ventral process of the exoccipital; for example *Labrostochelys*. The difference or better the exclusive condition of euraxemydids is that the ventral process is anteriorly extended avoiding a basioccipital-quadrate contact, condition that was added to the states here.
- 87. Exoccipitals, foramina nervi hypoglossi: 0 = separated on occipital surface; 1 = combined and recessed below occipital surface, almost same size of foramen jugulare posterius. **GF2 (ch 43).**
- 88. Basioccipital, basioccipital size ratio (length/width maximum values): $0 = \ge 0.60$; $1 = \le 0.59$. **GF1 (ch 87), GF2 (ch 44).**
- 89. Basioccipital, basioccipital-opisthotic contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 89).
- 90. Basioccipital, tubera position: 0 = absent or vey incipient located closer to medial axis of basioccipital; 1 = laterally positioned. **GF2 (ch 45).** *Remarks*: character reworded.
- 91. Basioccipital, horizontal occipital shelf: 0 = absent to very narrow; 1 = present, wide. **GF2 (ch 46)**. *Remarks*: character reworded.
- 92. Prootics, hyomandibular branch of facial nerve lies in its own canal: 0 = no; 1 = yes. **GF1 (ch 90)**. *Remarks:* according to (Gaffney et al. 2006) this is a synapomorphy of Pan-Pleurodira, and a character that can only be observe in fragmentary prootics, well preserved skull with open otic chamber, or CT images.
- 93. Prootics, foramen stapedio-temporale (fst) orientation: 0 = more dorsally oriented on roof of otic chamber; 1 = more anteroventrally oriented, located at the anterior surface of the otic chamber. **GF1 (ch 92); STF (ch 91, Stapedial Artery C).**

- 94. Prootics, foramen stapedio-temporale and foramen nervi trigemini: 0 = separated by most of prootic; 1 = separated by narrow bar of prootic. **GF1 (ch 93).**
- 95. Prootics, ventral exposure: 0 = most of the prootic exposed ventrally; 1 = prootic about half covered by quadrate and basisphenoid; 2 = nearly all of the prootic completely covered by the quadrate, basisphenoid, and pterygoid. **GF1 (ch 94)**.
- 96. Prootics, processus trochlearis oticum: 0 = absent: 1 = present. **GF1 (ch 96).**
- 97. Opisthotics, processus interfenestralis exposure: 0 = exposed ventrally; 1 = covered by bone. **GF1 (ch 99); ST (ch 56); STF (ch 82, Opisthotic D).**
- 98. Opisthotics, fenestra postotica closed medially: 0 = absent; 1 = present, closed medially by opisthotic-quadrate contact. **GF1 (ch 100).**
- 99. Opisthotics, fenestra postotica short horizontal slit: 0 = absent, more open dorsoventrally; 1 = present, short horizontal slit. **GF1 (ch 101).**
- 100. Opisthotics: processus paroccipitalis: 0 = projects posteriorly beyond squamosal; 1 = smaller, does not project beyond squamosal. **GF1 (ch 102).**
- 101. Opisthotics, thin horizontal flange on posterior margin: 0 = absent; 1 = present, just dorsal to fenestra postotica. **GF1 (ch 103).**
- 102. Basisphenoid, basisphenoid-quadrate contact: 0 = absent, separated by prootic or pterygoid; 1 = present, narrow occurring at the widest region of the basisphenoid; 2 = present, wide. GF1 (ch 104), STF (ch 56, Quadrate I). *Remarks:* after direct examination of several specimens of Pelomedusidae (see Supplementary material 1), I conclude that the most common condition is a narrow contact between these bones, taking this into account I change the order of the states 1 and 2 in contrast to (Gaffney et al. 2006) making the acquisition of a contact between basisphenoid-quadrate gradational (absent, narrow, wide).
- 103. Basisphenoid, ventral outline: 0 = elongated, blade-like shape, not sutured to pterygoids; 1 = triangular in shape; 2 = pentagonal in shape; 3 = elongated, blade-like shape but strongly sutured to pterygoids; 4 = V-shaped pointing anteriorly. GF1 (ch 106).
- 104. Basisphenoid, processus clinoideus: 0 = present with abducent canal; 1 = absent, canal is a groove. **GF1 (ch 107).**
- 105. Basisphenoid, sella turcica/dorsum sella: 0 = deep, well-defined margins: 1 = very shallow, low margins. **GF1 (ch 110).**

Lower jaw

- 106. Splenial, splenial bone: 0 = present: 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 113); JY1 (ch 59, Splenial A); HY2 (ch 45); KL & BR (ch 44).
- 107. Dentaries, lingual ridge in lateral view: 0 = same height or lower than the labial ridge; 1 = higher than the labial ridge. GF1 (ch 114); HY2 (ch 43); PH (ch 7); KL & BR (ch 42). *Remarks:* reworded from (Gaffney et al. 2006) to include the condition in outgroups of having a lingual ridge of same height of lower than labial.
- 108. Dentaries, dentary pits: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 115).
- 109. Dentaries, U-shaped lingual ridge on symphysis: 0 = absent, lingual ridge ends in V-shaped on symphysis; 1 = present, narrow U-shaped; 2 = present, wide U-shaped. GF1 (ch 116). *Remarks:* extra state added for wide U-shaped taxa.

- 110. Dentaries, medial contact of dentaries (symphysis): 0 = fused; 1 = sutured. GF1 (ch 117); JY1 (ch 58) & STF (ch 120) (Dentary A).
- 111. Dentaries, triturating surface width: 0 = narrow, almost same width at symphysis and posteriorly; 1 = much wider posteriorly than on symphysis; 2 = very wide, anterior and posteriorly. **GF1 (ch 118); HY2, KL, & BR (ch 39).** *Remarks:* a third state was added for very wide triturating surfaces.
- 112. Dentaries, widely exposed on lateral surface: 0 = yes, wide posterior exposure; 1 = no, covered posteriorly by surangular. GF1 (ch 119).
- 113. Dentaries, accessory ridge: 0 = absent; 1 = present, parallel to lingual and labial ridges; 2 = present, located along the symphysis. **CA1 (ch 39).** *Remarks:* a third state was added for differentiate the position of the ridge.
- 114. Dentaries, medial margin shape and angle between rami: 0 = V-shaped, ≤90° angle between rami; 1 = U-shaped, obtuse, >90° angle between rami; 2 = U-shaped with a medial tip due to the posteromedial participation of the coronoid in the triturating surface, >90° angle between rami. CA1 (ch 34). Remarks: character modified from (Cadena 2010) including not only the angle between rami, but also the shape that these form at symphysis, expressed by the medial margin of dentaries.
- 115. Surangulars, foramen nervi auriculotemporalis: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 120).
- 116. Coronoids, wide lateral exposure: 0 = no; 1 = yes. **GF1 (ch 121).**
- 117. Prearticulars, prearticular-angular contact and the anteroventral opening of the fossa Meckelii: 0 = very long contact closing most of the anteroventral opening of the fossa Meckelii; 1 = short contact, long anteroventral exposure of the fossa Meckelii. GF1 (ch 122). *Remarks:* I reworded this character in terms not only of the opening of the fossa Meckelii, but also of the prearticular-angular contact.
- 118. Articulars, processus retroarticularis: 0 = long and posteriorly projected; 1 = very short to absent; 2 = short, projected posterolaterally; 3 = short to moderately long, projected posteroventrally. CA1 (ch 36); GF1 (ch 123). *Remarks:* character combined and reworded from (Cadena 2010) and (Gaffney et al. 2006).
- 119. Articulars, foramen chorda tympani enclosed in processus retroarticularis: 0 = no; 1 = yes. **GF2 (ch 56).**

Cervical vertebrae

- 120. Cervical vertebrae, cervical ribs: 0 = present: 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 124); JY1 (ch 101) & STF (ch 182) (Cervical Rib A).
- 121. Cervical vertebrae, cervical postzygapophyses: 0 = all separate; 1 = some fused.
 GF1 (ch 125); STF (ch 198) (Cervical Vertebra J).
- 122. Cervical vertebrae, posterodorsal extension of postzygapophyses on neural spine: 0 = present; 1 = absent. **GF1 (ch 126)**. *Remarks:* character reworded.
- 123. Cervical vertebrae, cervical centra formation: 0 = amphicoelous, platycoelous; 1 = formed central articulation. GF1 (ch127); JY1 (ch 105) & STF (ch 187) (Cervical Articulation A); HY2 (ch 49); and Kl & BR (ch 48). *Remarks:* the original definition by (Gaffney et al. 2006) deals with two features in the same character, one is the formation of a cervical centra articulation and the second

with the shape of this. I split the character in two, the formation of the cervical centra (character 123) and the second the shape of this (character 124), which is Character 58 of (Gaffney et al. 2011).

- 124. Cervical vertebrae, cervicals (4-6) cervical centra shape: 0 = oval to circular in shape; 1 = heterocoelic or "saddle-shaped"; 2 = wider than high, with two ventrolateral keels. **GF2 (ch 58)**. *Remarks:* considering that there is considerable variation in the shape of the centra from anterior to posterior cervical vertebrae in some taxa (see (Lapparent de Broin 2000) and (Cadena 2010)), I restrict this character to cervicals 4-6.
- 125. Cervical vertebrae, cervical 2 biconvex: 0 = no; 1 = yes. GF1 (ch 128); GF2 (ch 59).
- 126. Cervical vertebrae, posterior cervicals with strongly developed ventral keels: 0 = absent or slightly developed in all vertebrae; 1 = present, more developed on posterior vertebrae. CA2 (ch 37); JY1 (ch 103) & STF (ch 184) (Cervical Vertebra B); HY2 (ch 48); and KL & Br (ch 47).

Caudal vertebrae

127. Caudal vertebrae, caudal articulation pattern: 0 = platycoelous, amphicoelous; 1 = formed centra varies, opisthocoelous and procoelous; 2 = all centra procoelous. GF1 (ch 129); JY1 (ch 119) & STF (ch 205) (Caudal B); HY2, KL & BR (ch 58 and 59).

Pelvic-Shoulder girdles

- 128. Pelvic girdles, tenth thoracic centrum: 0 = not incorporated into sacrum; 1 = incorporated into sacrum. **GF1 (ch 132).**
- 129. Pelvic girdles, pelvis-shell attachment: 0 = pelvis-shell attachment by ligaments; 1 = pelvis attached by strong sutural contact of the ischium and pubis with the plastron, and ilium with the carapace. GF1 (ch 133); ST (ch 138); JY1 (ch 134) & STF (ch 221) (Pelvis A).
- 130. Pelvic girdles, dorsal part of ilium: 0 = inclined with anterior and posterior processes; 1 = columnar expanded mediolaterally. **GF1 (ch 134).**
- 131. Pelvic girdles, acetabulum versus distal ilium portion distance in lateral view: 0 = distal ilium and acetabulum relatively far from midline, creating a very wide pelvis; 1 = ilium and acetabulum close to midline. **GF1 (ch 135).** *Remarks*: character reworded.
- 132. Shoulder girdles, coracoid dorsolongitudinal ridge: 0 = absent; 1 = present. CA1 (ch 44).
- 133. Humeri, shoulder on lateral side of head: 0 = present; 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 137).

Carapace

134. Carapace, geometry of the outline in terms of maximum width and length: 0 = maximum length equals or slightly longer than the maximum width which located right at the half of the carapace 1 = maximum length longer than the maximum width which is located anterior to the half of the carapace; 2 = maximum length

much longer than the maximum width which is located at the very posterior level of the carapace; 3 = maximum length longer than the maximum width which is located posteriorly close to the carapace half. **PE (ch 1).** *Remarks:* the geometry of the outline of the carapace can be very variable character, however the most important geometric patterns can be group in terms of the maximum length and width and the location of the maximum width in contrast to the half of the carapace as defined here, observing the carapace in dorsal view.

- 135. Nuchal, nuchal bone geometry: 0 = two or more times wider than length; 1 =width greater than length but less than two times; 2 = width approximately equals length; 3 = width approximately equals length, maximum width at least twice the anterior edge width; 4 = width less than length; 5 = nuchal bone greatly emarginated, anterior edge width equals maximum width. GF1 (ch 139); PE (ch 3 and 4); STF (ch 128) (Nuchal C). Remarks: as pointed out by (Gaffney et al. 2006) this is a very variable character within species. Here I define the character in terms of geometry of the nuchal, placing it inside squares or rectangles in order to visualize easier the different potential patterns. It is also important to notice that although the character is defined for observation in dorsal view of the carapace. the ideal observation will be in anterodorsal view, considering that most turtles have a relatively dome shell. Having this into account potential differences could occur in the geometry of the nuchal if dorsal versus anterodorsal views are compared. However, considering that almost all illustrated specimens in literature have a dorsal view and lacks the anterodorsal. I suggest using only the dorsal view for this character.
- 136. Nuchal, nuchal embayment: 0 = absent, 1 = present. GF1 (ch 154).
- 137. Neurals, neural series completeness: 0 = neural series reaches suprapygal; 1 = reaches costals 8; 2 = reaches costals 7; 3 = reaches costals 6; 4 = neurals absent or discontinuous. **GF1 (ch 141); JY1 (ch 68) & STF (ch 134) (Costal B).**
- 138. Neurals, neural series pattern: 0 = irregular, width relatively even; 1 = irregular, neurals 2 and 4 four-sided, alternating in width; 2 = regular mostly hexagonal, coffin-shaped. GF1 (ch 145). *Remarks:* I switched states 0 and 1, considering *Kayentachelys aprix* condition as known primitive condition.
- 139. Neurals, neurals number: 0 = more than eight; 1 = eight; 2 = seven; 3 = 6 or less, but at least one neural dorsally exposed. GF1 (ch 146); HY2, KL & BR (ch 85 & ch 87) and PH (ch 33). *Remarks:* character reworded.
- 140. Neurals, arrangement between neurals 1, 2, 3 and costals 1, 2, 3 and 4: 0 = neural 1 contacts costals 1 and 2, neural 2 (four-sided) only contacts costal 2; 1 = neural 1 contacts costal 1 and 2, or tip contact with costal 2, neural 2 (four-sided) only contacts costal 2, neural 3 contacts costal 2, 3, and 4; 2 = neural 1 (four-sided) contacts costal 1 and 2, neural 2 contacts costal 2 and 3, neural 3 (four-sided) only contacts costal 3. 3 = neural 1 (four-sided) only contacts costal 2. CA2 (ch 43); GF1 (ch 144); JY1 (ch 64) & STF (ch 129) (Neural A). *Remarks:* I combined the four-sided neural character of Gaffney et al. (2006) with the arrangement of the three most anterior neurals and costals defined by (Cadena et al. 2012).
- 141. Neurals, neural and costal bones with keels or knobs: 0 = present; 1 = only neurals 1-5; 2 = absent, smooth surface. **GF2 (ch 65); JY1 (ch 61) & STF (ch**

122) (Carapace B) and HY2, KL, & BR (ch 84). *Remarks:* I modified the character adding a third state of keels not only on neurals but also on costals.

- 142. Costals, iliac scar position: 0 = absent or very incipient scar on costals 7 and 8; 1 = on costals 7, 8, pygal, and peripheral 1; 2 = on costal 8 and suprapygal; 3 = on costals 7, 8, and suprapygal; 4 = restricted to costals 7 and 8. GF1 (ch 142). *Remarks:* I added to states, one representing the condition of *Platychelys oberndorferi* important to understand the evolution of the pelvic girdle in Pan-Pleurodira and state 2 for those taxa with iliac scar restricted to costal 8 and suprapygal.
- 143. Costals, costal 1 maximum length versus costal 2 maximum length: 0 = costal 1 shorter than the length of costal 2; 1 = costal 1 equals or slightly longer than twice the length of costal 2; 2 = costal 1 more than twice longer than the length of costal 2. GF1 (ch 143). *Remarks:* states were modified and split in three to cover all possible relationships with the length of costals 1 and 2.
- 144. Costals, arrangement between costal 1 and axillary process (buttress): 0 = axillary process of hyoplastron restricted to peripherals; 1 = short axillary process entering into costal 1; 2 = long axillary process reaching costal 2 or at the contact between costal 1 and 2; 3 = long axillary process reaching almost the central point of costal 1, accompanied by a very strong and well defined costal rib. GF1 (ch 148). *Remarks:* I added to the definition of this character the length and position of the axillary process onto costal 1. The shape of the axillary process or its scar is even more variable than its entrance position from peripherals and length, so I avoid the use of the shape, however, some of them can be apomorphic or generic useful characters (see (Lapparent de Broin & Wermer 1998); (Cadena et al. 2012b) (character 176).
- 145. Costals, inguinal process (buttress): 0 = absent or very short touching most posterolateral portion of costal 5; 1 = inguinal process of hypoplastron long on costal 5; 2 = inguinal process long and restricted to costal 4. GF1 (ch 150). *Remarks:* character reworded and I added a third state considering the condition in *Chelus* spp. see (Cadena et al. 2008).
- 146. Peripherals, axillary process extent on ventral surface of peripherals: 0 = reaches peripheral 2; 1 = reaches anteromedial or medial margin of peripheral 3; 2 = reaches posteromedial margin of peripheral 3; 3 = restricted to peripheral 4. GF1 (ch 149). *Remarks:* I split state 2 of (Gaffney et al. 2006) in states 2 and 3 here. There are taxa with hyoplastron only reaching the peripheral 4 and this should be considered as a different state and not just a variant of posteromedial peripheral 3 contact.
- 147. Peripherals, length of contact between peripheral 1 and costal 1 in dorsal view: 0 = anterior margin of peripheral 1 less than twice the length of the contact between peripheral 1 and costal 1; 1 = anterior margin of peripheral 1 is twice or more the length of peripheral 1 and costal 1 contact; 2 = contact between peripheral 1 and costal 1 absent due to a large nuchal. **GF1 (ch147).** *Remarks:* I switched the states order, considering that the most primitive condition for this character can be obtained from *Kayentachelys aprix* and *Notoemys* spp. (Gaffney et al. 2006) defined a fourth state (no contact due to small nuchal) to include the condition in *Araripemys barretoi*, however this is incorrect, because there is a contact between

the small triangular in shape peripheral 1 and costal 1, so state 3 was removed for this character.

- 148. Peripherals, peripheral 1 anterior margin width versus lateral margin length: 0 = lateral margin longer than the anterior margin; 1 = anterior margin width longer than lateral margin; 2 = anterior equals to lateral margin length. PE (ch 2). *Remarks:* (Pérez-García & Lapparent de Broin 2013) did not provide details of where the length/width should be measurement in the peripheral 1, here I redefined the character in terms of the anterior margin width and lateral margin length in dorsal view of the carapace.
- 149. Suprapygal, shape of suprapygal in contact with the pygal: 0 = pentagonal to trapezoidal in shape; 1 = almost triangular tapering anteriorly. **New character.**
- 150. Cervical, cervical scute(s): 0 = present; 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 138); JY1 (ch 70) & STF (ch 138) (Cervical A).
- 151. Marginals, marginal scute 1 anterior margin width versus lateral margin length: 0 = lateral margin longer or equal than the anterior margin; 1 = anterior margin width longer than lateral margin. PE (ch 6). *Remarks:* in contrast to the states in character 149 where I separate equals from longer or wider conditions, in the case of marginals 1, they never are much longer than wide, so I combine the case where they are slightly longer than wide with equal width-length condition.
- 152. Marginals, marginal scute 1 proportion overlapping the anterior margin/portion of peripheral bone 1: 0 = between 30 to 60%; 1 = less than 30%; 2 = more than 60%.
 PE (ch 8). *Remarks:* character redefined in terms of the proportion of peripheral 1 covered by marginal 1 at the anterior margin, also adding a third state where the marginal 1 overlaps more that 60% of peripheral 1.
- 153. Supramarginal scutes: 0 = present; 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 151).
- 154. Vertebrals, vertebral scutes 2-4 width versus pleurals 2 and 3 width: 0 = vertebral 2-4 wider than pleurals 2 and 3; 1 = vertebrals 2-4 slightly squared, equal to or narrower than pleurals 2 and 3. CA3 (ch 178); GF1 (ch 152); JY1 (ch 73) & STF (ch 141) (Vertebral B). *Remarks:* I restrict this character to vertebrals 2-4 and pleurals 2 and 3, other anterior or posterior vertebrals and pleurals have wide variability, most of the cases variations that constitute apomorphies for some taxa.
- 155. Vertebrals, vertebral scute 1 reaches anterior margin of carapace: 0 = no, medial contact between marginals 1 or cervicals present; 1 = yes. **GF1 (ch 153).**
- 156. Vertebrals, vertebral scute 1 shape of the anterolateral margins: 0 = lateral margins parallel to midline axis of carapace and anterior margin straight at the medial level; 1 = lateral margins anteriorly divergent, anterior margin medially convergent in acute tip or convex; 2 = lyre-shaped vertebral 1, lateral margins divergent at the most anterior corner, anterior margin with a medial notch. PE (ch 9). *Remarks:* I redefined this character in terms of the lateral and anterior margins of vertebral 1.
- 157. Vertebrals, vertebral scute 1 overlap onto anterior peripherals and nuchal: 0 = overlapping nuchal and peripherals 1; 1 = overlapping nuchal, peripherals 1 and 2; 2 = overlapping only nuchal. **CA3 (ch 177).**
- 158. Vertebrals, lateral position of the sulcus between vertebral scutes 3 and 4 in taxa with five vertebrals: 0 = sulcus positioned on costal 6; 1 = sulcus positioned on costal 5. **JY1 (ch 74) & STF (142) (Vertebral C).** *Remarks:* I modified the

definition of the character, instead of using the position of the sulcus on neurals, I use the position on costals 5 or 6, doing this taxa with completely absent of neurals can be scored for the character too.

- 159. Vertebrals, most posterior vertebral scute overlapping peripheral 10: 0 = no, overlapping only peripheral 11 and pygal, or restricted to suprapygal; 1 = yes, overlapping pygal, peripheral 10 and 11. New character. *Remarks*: this character resembles character 9 (width of vertebral 5) of (Pérez-García & Lapparent de Broin 2013) because when the vertebral 5 or 6 is the widest generally reaches peripheral 10, I consider that with the new definition the character can be more useful in cases where not all vertebrals are preserved or is difficult to establish clear difference in the width of them.
- 160. Thoracic rib, thoracic rib 1 versus 2: 0 = thoracic rib 1 large and separated from thoracic rib 2; 1 = thoracic rib 1 reduced, almost same size of the medial portion of thoracic rib 2, both separated by an oval elongated space; 2 = thoracic rib 1 shorter than the medial exposed portion of thoracic rib 2, very small oval space between them. **GF1 (ch155)**. *Remarks:* (Gaffney et al. 2006) separated this character in two states, however after examination of important number of extant pleurodires (see Supplementary material 1), I conclude that state 1 of (Gaffney et al. 2006) can be split in two distinct conditions, related not only to the size of thoracic rib 1 versus thoracic rib 2, but also in terms of the separation between them.
- 161. Thoracic rib, articulation facet on thoracic rib 1: 0 = absent; 1 = present, facet or tubercle on anterior margin of thoracic rib 1. **GF1 (ch 157).**
- 162. Thoracic vertebrae, shape of thoracic vertebrae: 0 = cylindrical, longer than wide, keeled ventrally; 1 = smooth and flat ventrally, hexagonal in shape with central lateral notch. New character.
- 163. Costovertebral tunnel, costovertebral tunnel formed by thoracic ribs and vertebrae: 0 = present, anteriorly and posteriorly only; 1 = present, wide for the entire length; 1 = absent. **GF1 (ch 156).**

Plastron

- 164. Mesoplastra, medial contact between mesoplastra: 0 = present; 1 = absent, mesoplastron laterally restricted, wider than long and reduced in size; 2 = absent, mesoplastron laterally restricted, roughly equidimensional; 3 = mesoplastron absent. GF1 (ch 158); JY1 (ch 85) & STF (ch 160) (Mesoplastron A) and AN (ch 122). *Remarks:* character reworded.
- 165. Entoplastron, shape and participation of the entoplastron in the anterior plastral lobe margin: 0 = pentagonal in shape, anterior margin of entoplastron included in the anterior margin of plastron (anterior entoplastron process); 1 = four-sided diamond or rhombus-shaped, with not contribution to the anterior margin of the plastron, due to medial contact of epiplastra; 2 = triangular in shape, with not contribution to the anterior margin of the plastron; 3 = entoplastron absent. CA4 (ch 75); GF1 (ch159 and ch 160); JY1 (ch 78) & STF (ch 149 and 153) (Entoplastron A and E). *Remarks:* the shape of the entoplastron is in part conditioned by the presence or not of a medial

contact between epiplastra, I suggest to consider these two characters in a single one as defined here.

- 166. Entoplastron, posterior end of the entoplastron reaching the axillary notch level of plastron: 0 = absent, entoplastron located very anterior to the axillary notch level; 1 = present. CA4 (ch 179). *Remarks:* character restricted to turtles lacking long posterior entoplastron process (most basal Testudines).
- 167. Epiplastra, anteriorly projected tuberosities of the epiplastron: 0 = present, two or more; 1 = absent. CA4 (ch 77); GF1 (ch 163). *Remarks:* (Gaffney et al. 2006) defined this character as gular scute projections, however the tuberosities (projections) are part of the anterior portion of the epiplastron and not of the scutes. This character is better defined as part of the epiplastra, as I suggest here.
- 168. Epiplastra, anterior plastral lobe margin shape: 0 = dentate straight to slightly convex (trapezoidal); 1 = smooth, highly convex; 2 = smooth, acute tip. **CA4 (ch 77); GF1 (ch 164); PE (ch 11)**. *Remarks:* character 77 of (Cadena et al. 2013) is split in character 167 and character 168 (this study), also character 164 of (Gaffney et al. 2006) is split in character 167 and character 168 (this study), the logic behind this changes is that the anterior plastral lobe have three well defined features: presence or absence of tuberosities (character 166, this study); its shape more clearly defined by its anterior margin (character 167, this study); and the proportion between its length and its width (character 168, this study).
- 169. Epiplastra, anterior plastral lobe width/length ratio: 0 = maximum width at the hyoplastron notch (base of the anterior plastral lobe) almost twice the maximum length; 1 = maximum width slightly longer than the maximum length; 2 = maximum width almost three times the maximum length. **GF1 (ch 164).** *Remarks:* character redefined.
- 170. Epiplastra, anterior plastral lobe reaches carapace anterior margin: 0 = yes, same level of beyond the anterior margin of carapace; 1 = no, well posterior to the anterior margin of carapace. **GF1 (ch 173).**
- 171. Hyoplastra, central fontanelle at the hyo-hypoplastron suture: 0 = absent; 1 = present. **CA4 (ch 79).** *Remarks:* character can have ontogenetic variability (fontanelle present in hatching/juveniles for some taxa). So avoid using it if there is evidence of specimens in early ontogenetic stages having a central fontanelle.
- 172. Hyoplastra, plastral bridge length at the axillary and inguinal notches: 0 = long; 1 = very short. **CA1 (ch 50).**
- 173. Hyoplastra, axillary musk duct location: 0 = musk duct are absent; 1 = located at the most anterior tip of the sutural contact between hyoplastron and peripherals (in buttress), before axillary notch; 2 = present in bridge. **GF2 (ch 69).** *Remarks:* character reworded and extra state added for cases where the duct(s) are absent.
- 174. Hyoplastra, number of musk ducts in bridge: 0 = one pair; 1 = three pairs; 2 = four or more pairs, with or without an extra par in buttress. **GF2 (ch 70).** *Remarks:* character reworded.
- 175. Xiphiplastra, anal notch: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 174); JY1 (ch 87) & STF (163) (Xiphiplastron A).
- 176. Xiphiplastra, size and shape of ischial scar: 0 = large linear or V-shaped; 1 = small, oval to circular. **GF1 (ch 168).** *Remarks:* I removed state 0 of (Gaffney et al. 2006) for this character considering that is redundant with character 129 (this

study).

- 177. Xiphiplastra, ischial scar position related to the anal notch of xiphiplastron: 0 = the most posterior tip of the scar reaches the level of the anal notch; 1 = the most posterior tip is located anteriorly, before the anal notch level. **CA3 (ch 180).**
- 178. Gular, gular scutes: 0 = two (one pair); 1 = one gular scute. **GF1 (ch 162); JY1** (ch 91) & STF (ch 167) (Gular A). *Remarks:* intergular for (Gaffney et al. 2006) and other authors.
- 179. Humerals, medial contact between humeral scutes: 0 = present; 1 = absent, even just a touching tips. CA2 (ch 46); GF1 (ch 170); PE (ch 14). *Remarks:* the arrangement between gular, extragulars (gulars for (Gaffney et al. 2006)and other authors) and humeral scutes can be extremely variable to generic and specific level, specially in terms of the size or the length/width of contacts between scutes as (Pérez-García & Lapparent de Broin 2013) described in detail for *Neochelys* spp. Here I consider four characters (179-182) that describe the most common arrangements between these anterior plastral scutes, excluding autapomorphic configurations for single species.
- 180. Extragulars, medial contact of extragular scutes: 0 = absent; 1 = present, restricting the posterior advance of the gular; 2 = extragulars absent. CA2 (ch 46); GF1 (ch 170); PE (ch 14). *Remarks:* see character 179.
- 181. Extragulars, covering most or at least a small portion of entoplastron: 0 = no; 1 = yes. CA2 (ch 46); GF1 (ch 170); PE (ch 14). *Remarks:* see character 179.
- 182. Extragulars, anterior margin width: 0 = almost the same width as the anterior margin of gular; 1 = wider than the anterior margin of gular; 2 = much wider than the anterior margin of gular, which is triangular in shape; 3 = much narrower than the anterior margin of gular. CA2 (ch 46); GF1 (ch 170); PE (ch 14). *Remarks:* see remarks character 179.
- 183. Pectorals, pectoral scute on entoplastron: 0 = absent; 1 = present. GF1 (ch 165);
 STF (ch 174) (Humeral B).
- 184. Pectorals, pectoral scute on epiplastron: 0 = absent; 1 = present, crossing the lateral margin of epiplastron-hyoplastron suture; 2 = present, very anterior to the epiplastron-hyoplastron suture. **GF1 (ch 166)**. *Remarks*: a third state was added to this character.
- 185. Pectorals, pectoral scute on mesoplastron: 0 = present; 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 167).
- 186. Inframarginals, inframarginal scutes on bridge: 0 = present; 1 = absent. GF1 (ch 171); JY1 (ch 100, Inframarginal A) & STF (ch 179, 180, and 181) (Inframarginals, A, B and C). *Remarks:* primitive condition as for *Kayentachelys aprix.*
- 187. Abdominals, length abdominals versus femorals scutes at the midline of plastron: 0 = abdominals longer or almost same length than femorals: 1 = femorals twice the length of abdominals; 2 = abdominal scutes do not meet at the midline, due to the presence of a central fontanelle. GF1 (ch 172); JY1 (ch 98) & STF (ch 177) (Abdominal A). *Remarks*: (Gaffney et al. 2006) defined this character in terms of the length of abdominals versus anal scutes, in my opinion a better definition is in terms of abdominals versus femorals, avoiding high variations caused by the depth of the anal notch of xiphiplastra.

Characters excluded or combined from previous studies

From GF1

Character 2. Lacrimal: 0 = present; 1 = absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stemtestudines.

Character 3. Lacrimal: lacrimal foramen: 0 = present; 1 = absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 9. Prefrontals-vomer, fissura ethmoidalis: 0 = very wide; 1 = narrower. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, polymorphic in cryptodires.

Character 19. Supratemporal, supratemporal bone: 0 = present; 1 = absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 36. Premaxilla, midline dorsal process: 0 = present, meeting nasals; 1 = absent, or low; 2 = present, at least partially separating nares. *Reason to be removed from the list:* apomorphic for *Proganochelys quenstedti* among Testudinata. Stages 1 and 2 are very close in all pleurodires and very subjective for separation.

Character 46. Vomer, vomerine teeth: 0 = present; 1 = absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 53. Quadrate, stapes contained in bony canal: 0 = stapes not completely contained in bone; 1 = stapes completely enclosed by bony incisor columellae auris. *Reason to be removed from the list*: character fused in character 56 (states 1 and 3).

Character 61. Quadrate, fully formed cavum tympani: 0 = no; 1 = yes. *Reason to be removed from the list*: I combined this character with the character 57 (cavum tympani, arrangement between the quadrate, squamosal, opisthotic, stapes and Eustachian tube), because the formation of the cavum tympani is related to the closing of the quadrate and the incisura columella auris.

Character 62. Quadrate, cavum tympani with acute posterior edge: 0 = no; 1 = acute edge, also enclosing stapes.*Reason to be removed from the list:*I combined this character with the character 57 (cavum tympani, arrangement between the quadrate, squamosal, opisthotic, stapes and Eustachian tube), state 3.

Character 63. Quadrate, middle ear with complete lateral wall: 0 = no; 1 = yes. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles,

useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 64. Quadrate, cavum tympani curved dorsally: 0 = no; 1 = yes. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 65. Quadrate, covers opisthotic laterally: 0 = no; 1 = yes. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 66. Quadrate, pocket for stapes articulation: 0 = no; 1 = yes. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 67. Quadrate, cranioquadrate space: 0 = relatively open; 1 = well defined canal. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 81. Supraoccipital, wide occipital plate: 0 = wide occipital plate with posteriorly concave depression; 1 = narrower occiput. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 83. Exoccipital, recessus scalae tympani: 0 = not formed in bone; 1 = formed by bone, also forming fenestra perilymphatica. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stemtestudines.

Character 88. Basioccipital, basioccipital thick: 0 = basioccipital and basisphenoid relatively thick in cross section; 1 = thinner. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stemtestudines.

Character 91. Prootic, foramen stapedio-temporale: 0 = not a canal; 1 = foramen and canal. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 97. Prootic, plane of fenestra ovalis: 0 = inclined from the vertical; 1 = very close to vertical. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 98. Opisthotic, processus interfenestralis expanded ventrally: 0 = narrow ventrally; 1 = expanded ventrally. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stemtestudines.

Character 105. Basisphenoid, interpterygoid vacuity: 0 = large and open; 1 = small or absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 108. Basisphenoid, skull akinetic: 0 = no, basipterygoid articulation present; 1 = yes, basipterygoid articulation sutured. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stemtestudines.

Character 109. Basisphenoid, cultriform process: 0 = rod-like, thin; 1 = broad, flat, covered ventrally. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 111. Basisphenoid, ventral tubercle: 0 = single tubercle formed by basisphenoid and basioccipital; 1 = paired tubercles; 2 = tubercles absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 112. Columella auris, footplate: 0 = absent, stapes thick; 1 = wide footplate present, stapes thin. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 130. Shoulder girdle, coracoid foramen: 0 = present; 1 = absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 131. Shoulder girdle, coracoid shape: 0 = coracoid a flat plate; 1 = coracoid columnar. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 136. Pelvic girdle, thyroid fenestra: 0 = separate; 1 = confluent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* the confluent condition is present in all pleurodires and also outgroups considered in this study. This character is useful to differentiate stemtestudines.

Character 140. Carapace, pygal notch: 0 = present; 1 = absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines. *Platychelys oberndorferi* has a very shallow pygal notch, this can be also the case in some cryptodires, however it is not so deep and well defined as in basal stem-testudines.

Character 161. Plastron, dorsal epiplastral process: 0 = large, reaching or nearly reaching carapace; 1 = small or absent. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stemtestudines.

Character 169. Plastron, posterior lobe wider than pelvis: 0 = no, pelvis visible in ventral view; 1 = yes, pelvis hidden by wide posterior lobe. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an uninformative character for Pan-Pleurodiran turtles, useful to differentiate stem-testudines.

Character 175. Shell, surface texture: 0 = surface rough with radiating ridges diverging from posteromedial part (growth center) of vertebral and pleural scale areas; 1 = weakly granulated polygons; 2 = strongly granulated polygons; 3 = fine, striated ridges; 4 = smooth; 5 = numerous small pits; 6 = texture as in *Dortoka. Reason to be removed from the list:* I agree with the senior author of (Gaffney et al. 2006) in removed this character because the difficulty of identify objectively and consistently the surface texture of shell over a wide range of taxa, and I suggest its used only as part of diagnosis of taxa.

From GF2

Character 15. Premaxillae: pinched snout: 0 = absent; 1 = concave outline near premaxilla-maxilla contact, snout not elongated; 2 = concave outline posterior to premaxilla-maxilla contact, snout elongated. *Reason to be removed from the list:* the pinched snout is a feature resulting of the anterior projection of the prefrontals over the aperture narium and also of their dorsal inflation, this character is better defined by the way that the prefrontals cover the apertura narium externa (character 5, this study).

Character 18. Maxillae: triturating surface convexity: 0 = absent or shallow; 1 = deep. *Reason to be removed from the list:* apomorphic for two species of *Bairdemys* genus.

Character 21. Maxillae, meet broadly on midline: 0 = no; 1 = yes. *Reason to be removed from the list:* extremely homoplastic as was pointed out by (Gaffney et al. 2011)).

Character 27. Palatines, orbitalis posterior pocket in septum orbitotemporale: 0 = absent; 1 = present. *Reason to be removed from the list:* the best specimen figured by (Gaffney et al. 2011) for observation of this character is in my opinion *Mogharemys blanckenhorni* (Pag 121, Fig 50), however, the called "posterior pocket" is not really a well defined feature at the fossa orbitalis, and not remarkable different from the shape of the anterior surface of the septum orbitotemporale of extant podocnemidids. I keep this character out because it is not very well defined or illustrated by (Gaffney et al. 2011) CT images of stereogynis could help to test the validity of this character and to reach a better definition.

Character 49. Prootic, foramen posterius canalis carotici interni: 0 = in prootic; 1 = in basisphenoid with cavum pterygoidei: 2 = variably in pterygoid, quadrate basisphenoid; <math>3 = pterygoid and basisphenoid. *Reason to be removed from the list:* character included in the redefined character 73 this study.

Character 67. Carapace, costal 2 anterior edge thickened near buttress: 0 = no; 1 = yes. *Reason to be removed from the list:* the definition of the character is poor in terms not only of lacking figures or photographs illustrating the thickened, but also of how to

differentiate from the average thickness of the costal bones.

From CA1

Character 37. Surangular, well extended anteriorly: 0 = absent; 1 = present. *Reason to be removed from the list:* after examination of more specimens of extant podocnemidids, I conclude that there is strong variability of this character in the degrees of the anterior extension of surangular bone.

Character 40. Dentary, narrow and elongated ridge, located in the medial margin on the ventral surface: 0 = absent; 1 = present. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an autapomorphic character of *Cerrejonemys wayuunaiki* among Pan-Pleurodira.

Character 49. Carapace, lateral thickness of the shell: $0 = \le 20$ mm; $1 = \ge 20$ mm. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an autapomorphic character of *Cerrejonemys wayuunaiki* among Pan-Pleurodira.

From CA2

Character 9. Prefrontal, prefrontal-postorbital contact: 0 = absent; 1 = present. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is an autapomorphic character of *Carbonemys cofrinii* among Pan-Pleurodira.

From PE1

Character 7. Overlap of the marginal 1 on the latero-anterior margin of the nuchal: 0 = marginal 1 overlapping more than one third of the latero-anterior margin; 1 = marginal 1 overlapping less than one third of the latero-anterior margin. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this becomes a redundant character with character 152 of this study, and it is variable at generic and specific level, depending of the inclination of the posterior margin of marginal 1.

Character 12. Ratio between the length of the entoplastron and its distance with the pectoro-abdominal sulcus: 0 = entoplastral length longer than two times that distance; 1 = entoplastral length less than two times that distance. *Reason to be removed from the list:* the second state is scored for three species of *Neochelys: N. eoceanica, N. zamoraensis, N. salmanticensis* however from the figure and photos provide in (Broin 1977) and (Jiménez-Fuentes 1968; Jiménez-Fuentes 1992) it is clear that the differences are not significant and can fall easily inside ontogenetic or intraspecific variations.

Character 13. Lateral margins of the posterior plastral lobe: 0 = rounded; 1 = straight. *Reason to be removed from the list:* this is a highly variable character in individuals of same species of extant podocnemidids, and apomorphic for *Neochelys zamoraensis* as

scored in Table 2 of (Pérez-García & Lapparent de Broin 2013).

Character 15. Length of the dorsal shell: 0 = near 25 cm; 1 = greater than 35 cm. *Reason to be removed from the list:* I avoid the use of this character that can be related to ontogenetic stages, sexual dimorphisms variations, and an affected by a poorly documented number of individuals for some species.

Changes in scoring

From GF1 and Romano et al (2014)

Character 8 (Character 7 this study)

Araripemys barretoi, Laganemys tenerensis	from (0) to (?)
Pelomedusa subrufa, Pelusios castaneus, Dirqadim schaefferi	from (0) to (-)

Reason for the change: if the vomer is absent or not preserved, this character should be code as not applicable (-) for those lacking the vomer, and (?) for those with dubious presence/absence or contact with the prefrontal.

Character 11 (Character 11 this study)

Sokatra antitra, Pelomedusa subrufa, Pelusios castaneus Cearachelys placidoi, Galianemys emringeri, Galianemys whitei, Kurmademys kallamedensis, Kinkonychelys rogersi, Foxemys mechinorum, Polysternon provincial, Araiochelys hirayamai, Zolhafah bella, Rosasia soutoi, Taphrosphys sulcatus, Taphrosphys congolensis, Taphrosphys ippolitoi, Azabbaremys moragjonesi, Acleistochelys maliensis, Labrostochelys galkini, Phosphatochelys tedfordi, Ummulisani rutgersensis, Rhothonemys brinkmani, Nigeremys gigantean, Arenila krebsi from (0) to (1)

Reason for the change: the condition for all these taxa, following the redefinition of character states made here, fit better in (1) than in (0).

Character 16 (Character 16 this study)

Kayentachelys aprix

Reason for the change: as the specimen is preserved is not completely clear if the contact exists or not.

Character 39 (Character 25 this study)

Phosphatochelys tedfordi, Ummulisani rutgersensis from (2) to (5)

from (0) to (?)

Reason for the change: a contact between maxilla and quadrate with very shallow cheek emargination is present for both taxa. See also the character remarks for discussion about states changes.

Character 27 (Character 30 this study)

Laganemys tenerensis

Reason for the change: the orbits are still filled with matrix, avoiding the observation of this character.

Character 35 (Character 38 this study)

Reason j	for the	change:	photogi	raphs and	figures	presented	d for this ta	axon by	(Roman	o et
al. 2014) show	absence	of deep	and round	ded pits	s as those	exhibit by	other b	othremy	dids.

Character 45 (Character 46 this study)

Laganemys tenerensis

Atolchelys lepida

Reason for the change: vomer is present in this taxon, see (Sereno & ElSahfie 2013)

Character 76 (Character 74 this study)

Laganemys tenerensis	from (0) to (?)
Atolchelys lepida	from (1) to (?)

Reason for the change: both species lack of skulls enough prepared/preserved to be able to score this character. In the case of L. tenerensis, CT data was produced, however is not available for public access and corroboration.

Character 77 (Character 75 this study)

Ummulisani rutgersensis	from (0/1) to (?)
Rhothonemys brinkmani	from (0) to (?)
Bothremys kellyi	from (1) to (?)

Reason for the change: all these taxa do not preserve completely the pterygoidsbasisphenoid area.

Character 78 (Character 77 this study)

Atolchelys lepida

from (1) to (?)

from (0) to (?)

from (1) to (0)

from (?) to (1)

Reason for the change: not enough prepared/preserved to be able to score this character.

Character 79 (Character 78 this study)

Brasilemys josai	from (?) to	o (0)
Reason for the change: character can be scored following (Lapparent de E	Broin 2000)	
Character 106 (Character 103 this study)		
Atolchelys lepida	from (4) to	o (1)
Reason for the change: the shape of basisphenoid is as in state 1.		
Character 107 (Character 104 this study)		
Atolchelys lepida	from (0) to	o (?)
<i>Reason for the change:</i> character is not visible as the specimen is preserve <i>Hamadachelys escuilliei</i>	ed/prepared. from (?) to	o (0)
Reason for the change: can be scored from the CT images available on Di	gimorph.	
Character 110 (Character 105 this study)		
Atolchelys lepida, Araripemys barretoi, Laganemys tenerensis	from (0) to	o (?)
	1/	1

Reason for the change: character is not visible as the specimens are preserved/prepared, or just by the information provided by the respective references

Character 116 (Character 109 this study)

Hamadachelys escuilliei

Reason for the change: U-shaped (1) is the condition for this taxon, see (Gaffney et al. 2006) (Fig. 251).

Character 117 (Character 110 this study)

Hamadachelys escuilliei

Reason for the change: condition unknown as specimen preserved.

from (0) to (1)

from (0) to (?)

Session 2. Characters figures.

Figures used in this guide, were taken, modified, or redraw in different degree from previous studies as follow:

Cadena & Joyce 2015 Characters: 134,135,138,139,140,147,148,149,151,152,153,159,164,165 DigiMorph 2015 Characters: 16,17,31,35,66,74,93,94,96,104,105,129 Gaffnev 1979 Characters: 48,68,77,92,124,125 Gaffney 1990 Characters: 120,121,130,131,132,133 Gaffney & Wood 2002 Characters: 19,20,64,81 Gaffney et al. 2002 Characters: 80,82 Gaffney et al. 2011 Characters: 14,15,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,32,33,36,39, 40,42,43,44,45,46,52,53,54,56,60,61,63,64,78,81,82,84,87,90,95,102 111,113,114,135,183 Gaffney et al. 2006 Characters: 4,5,8,11,12,13,17,19,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31, 32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,46,47,49,50,55,56,57,58,59,62, 65,66,67,68,69,70,71,72,73,75,76,78,78,82,83,84,85,86,88,89,90,94, 95,97,98,99,100,101,102,103,104,105,107,108,109,110,111,112,115. 116,117,118,135,137,139,140,147,148,157,158,166,169,170,175,176 177,187 Joyce 2007 Characters: 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,25,46,55,56,60,61,63 71,76,83,85,103,106,122,123,126,127,134,136,137,138,139,140,143 149,150,151,152,153,154,155,156,157,158,159,164,166,167,168,169 170,171,172,175,178,183,184,185,186,187 Lapparent de Broin 2000 Characters: 85 Meylan 1996 Characters: 135,136,140,155,165,168,171,187 Pérez-García and Lapparent de Broin 2013 Characters: 156 This study Characters: 65,66,67,69,91,113, 114,118,119,124,125,128,129,141,142, 144,145,146,160,161,162,163,173,174,179,180,181,182



Figure 1. Example of character figure with all the settings used in Adobe Illustrator CS6, page setup: 170 mm width, 225 mm height, .eps file extension. A. Bone or feature: Myriad Pro, Regular, 10 pt, black (Color number: 010101). B. Line: 1 pt, basic, uniform, black (010101). C. Species name: Myriad Pro, Semibold, 18pt, black (010101). D. Character state: Myriad Pro, bold, 21 pt, (State 0 (C1282D), State 1 (1071B9), State 2 (F79321), State 3 (24B573), State 4 (93298D), State 5 (ED2279)). E. Character name Myriad Pro, bold, 30pt, grey (4D4D4E) and character definition: Myriad Pro, regular, 24pt, grey (4D4D4E). F. Character number: Myriad Pro, bold, 36pt, purple (652C90).

References

Bardet N, Jalil N-E, Lapparent de Broin F, Germain D, Lambert O, Amaghzaz M. 2013. A giant chelonioid turtle from the late cretaceous of Morocco with a suction feeding apparatus unique among tetrapods. PLoS ONE **8:**e63586 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0063586.

Broin FD. 1977. Contribution à l'étude des Chéloniens. Chéloniens con-tinentaux du Crétacé et du Tertiaire de France. *Mem Mus Nac HistNat* **38:**1-366.

Cadena EA, J. I, Bloch., C. A, Jaramillo. 2010. New podocnemidid turtle (Testudines: Pleurodira) from the Middle-Upper Paleocene of South America. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **30**:367-382.

Cadena EA, Ksepka DT, Jaramillo CA, and Bloch JI. 2012a. New pelomedusoid turtles (Testudines, Panpleurodira) from the late Palaeocene Cerrejón Formation of Colombia and implications for phylogeny and body size evolution. *Journal of Systematics Palaeontology* **10**:313-331.

Cadena EA, Bloch JI, and Jaramillo CA. 2012b. New bothremydid turtle (Testudines, Pleurodira) from the Paleocene of North-Eastern Colombia. *Journal of Paleontology* **86**:689-699.

Cadena EA, Jaramillo CA, and Bloch JI. 2013. New material of the Platychelyid Turtle *Notoemys zapatocaensis* from the Early Cretaceous of Colombia; Implications for under-standing Pleurodira evolution. In: Brinkman DB, Holroyd PA, and Gardner JD, eds. *Morphology and Evolution of Turtles*: Springer, Dordrecht, 120-140.

Cadena EA, and Joyce WG. 2015. A Review of the Fossil Record of Turtles of the Clades Platychelyidae and Dortokidae. *Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History* **56**:3-20.

Cadena EA and Parham J. 2015. Oldest known marine turtle? An Early Cretaceous protostegid from Colombia. PaleoBios.

Digimorph, 2015. Available at http://www.digimorph.org2014).

Gaffney ES. 1979. Comparative cranial morphology of recent and fossil turtles. *Bulletin of the American museum of Natural History* **164:**1-313.

Gaffney ES. 1990. The comparative osteology of the Triassic turtle *Proganochelys*. *Bulletin of the American museum of Natural History* **194:**1-263.

Gaffney ES, DeBlieux D, Simons E, Sánchez-Villagra MR, and Meylan P. 2002. Redescription of the skull of *Dacquemys Williams*, 1954, a podocnemidid side-necked turtle from the Late Eocene of Egypt. *American Museum Novitates* 3372:1-16. **Gaffney ES, Meylan PA, Wood RC, Simons E, and Campos DD. 2011.** Evolution of the Side-Necked Turtles: The Family Podocnemididae. *Bulletin of the American museum of Natural History*:1-237.

Gaffney ES, Tong HY, and Meylan PA. 2006. Evolution of the side-necked turtles: The families Bothremydidae, Euraxemydidae, and Araripemydidae. *Bulletin of the American museum of Natural History*:1-237.

Gaffney ES and Wood RC. 2002. *Bairdemys*, a new side-necked turtle (Pelomedusoides: Podocnemididae) from the Miocene of the Caribbean. *American Museum Novitates* **3359**:1-28.

Hirasawa T, Nagashima H, and Kuratani S. 2013. The endoskeletal origin of the turtle carapace. *Nature communications* 4:2107 doi: 10.1038/ncomms3107

Hirasawa T, Pascual-Anaya J, Kamezaki N, Taniguchi M, Mine K, and Kuratani S. 2014. The Evolutionary Origin of the Turtle Shell and Its Dependence on the Axial Arrest of the Embryonic Rib Cage. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* (Mol Dev Evol) 9999B:1-14.

Hirayama R. 1994. Phylogenetic systematics of chelonioid sea turtles. *The Island Arc* **3:**270-284.

Hirayama R. 1998. Oldest known sea turtle. Nature 392:705-708.

Jiménez-Fuentes E. 1968. *Stereogenys salmanticensis* nov. sp., quelonioeocénico del Valle del Duero. *Studia Geologica Salmantensia* **24**:191-203.

Jiménez-Fuentes E. 1992. Quelonios fósiles de Castilla y Leon. In: Jiménez-Fuentes E, and Llovera C, eds. Vertebrados fósiles de Castilla y León, Salamanca: Junta de Castilla y León, 177-195.

Joyce WG. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships of Mesozoic Turtles. *Bulletin of Peabody Museum of Natural History* **48:**3-102.

Joyce WG, chapman SD, Moody RT, and Walker CA. 2011. The skull of the solemydid turtle *Helochelydra nopcsai* from the Early Cretaceous of the Isle of Wight (UK) and a review of Solemydidae. *Palaeontology* **212:**75-97.

Kear BP, and Lee MSY. 2006. A primitive protostegid from Australia and early sea turtle evolution. *Biology Letters* 2:116-119.

Lapparent de Broin F. 2000. The oldest pre-Podocnemidid turtle (Chelonii, Pleurodira), from the Early Cretaceous, Ceara State, Brasil, and its environment. *Threeballs del Museu of Geologia de Barcelona* 9:43-95.

Lapparent de Broin F, Bardet N, Amaghzaz M, and Meslouh S. 2014. A strange new chelonioid turtle from the Latest CretaceousPhosphates of Morocco. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 86:75-97

Lapparent de Broin F, and Wermer C. 1998. New late Cretaceous turtles from the Western Desert, Egypt. *Annales de Paléontologie* 84:131-214. Lyson TR, Schachner ER, Botha-Brink J, Scheyer TM, Lambertz M, Bever GS, Rubidge BS, and de Queiroz K. 2014. Origin of the unique ventilatory apparatus of turtles. *Nature communications*.

Meylan PA. 1996. Skeletal morphology and relationships of the Early Cretaceous sidenecked turtle, Araripemys barretoi (Testudines: Pelomedusoides: Araripemydidae), from the Santana Formation of Brazil. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **16**:20-33.

Parham JF, and Pyenson ND. 2010. New sea turtle from the Miocene of Peru and the iterative evolution of feeding ecomorphologies since the Cretaceous. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **84**:231-247.

Pérez-García A, and Lapparent de Broin F. 2013. A new species of *Neochelys* (Chelonii, Podocnemididae) from the Ypresian (Early Eocene) of the South of France. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* **12:**269-277.

Pritchard PCH. 2008. Evolution and structure of the Turtle shell. In: Wyneken J, Godfrey MH, and Bels V, eds. *Biology of Turtles*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 45-85.

Rabi M, Zhou C-F, Wings O, Ge S, and Joyce WG. 2013. A new xinjiangchelyid turtle from the Middle Jurassic of Xinjiang, China and the evolution of the basipterygoid process in Mesozoic turtles. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **13**:203-221.

Romano PSR, Gallo V, Renato RC, and Antonioli L. 2014. *Atolchelys lepida*, a new side-necked turtle from the Early Cretaceous of Brazil and the age of crown Pleurodira. *Biology Letters* 10:20140290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0290

Sereno PC, and ElSahfie SJ. 2013. A New Long-Necked Turtle, *Laganemys tenerensis* (Pleurodira: Araripemydidae), from the Elrhaz Formation (Aptian–Albian) of Niger. In: Brinkman DB, Holroyd PA, and Gardner JD, eds. Morphology and evolution of turtles. Netherlands: Springer, 215-250.

Sterli J. 2008. A new, nearly complete stem turtle from the Jurassic of South America with implications for turtle evolution. *Biology Letters* **4**:286-289.

Sterli J, and de la Fuente MS. 2013. New evidence from the Palaeocene of Patagonia (Argentina) on the evolution and palaeo-biogeography of Meiolaniformes (Testudinata, new taxon name). *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **11**:835-852.



Prefrontals-frontal (orbits facing) Character 11 Frontals (foramen interobitale)

Character 12

Plate 1. Characters 1 to 12



Parietals (parietal-quadratojugal contact)

Character 13



Parietals (parietal-squamosal contact)

Character 15

Podocnemis sextuberculata





State 1 Parietals (parietals enters orbital margin)

Character 18



Parietals (temporal emargination) **Character 14**





State 0 processus trochelaris pterygoide

State 1 processus trochelaris pterygoidei base

Parietals (parietal-pterygoid contact)

Character 16







Parietals (sulcus palatinopterygoideus)

Character 17

Plate 2. Characters 13 to 18



Plate 3. Characters 19 to 24

State 1



Pelusios castaneus

Character 30

State 0

Postorbital (fossa postorbitalis post. enlarg.)

Bothremys cooki

State 1

All the second s

Galianemys emringeri

State 0

Character 26

Jugals (jugal-parietal contact)



Podocnemis sextuberculata

State 1

Podocnemis sextuberculata
State 0

Foxemys mechinorum State 1

Squamosal (posterior projection)

Character 27



Labrostochelys galkini State 1

Squamosal (lateral tubercle)

Character 29

Podocnemis sextuberculata

State 0

Plate 4. Characters 25 to 30





Chrysemys picta

State 0

Podocnemis unifilis Taphrosphys sulcatus State 2 State 1

Postorbital (septum orbitotemporale)

Character 31





Podocnemis sextuberculata Azabbaremys moragjonesi State 0 State 1

Premaxilla (anterior projection)

Character 33







Euraxemys essweini State 0

Zolhafah bella Araiochelys hirayamai State 1 State 2

Galianemys emringeri

State 1

Premaxilla (midline depression)

Podocnemis sextuberculata

Character 32

State 0

Postorbital (length vs width)

Character 34





Podocnemis unifilis State 0 Premaxilla (dorsal sulcus) Character 35

Phosphatochelys tedfordi

State 1

Premaxilla apertura narium interna

Euraxemys essweini

State 0

Podocnemis unifilis

State 1

Premaxilla (apertura narium interna)

Character 36

Plate 5. Characters 31 to 36





Euraxemys essweini





Bothremys cooki

State 2

Maxilla (triturating surface)

Character 37





Azzabaremys moragjonesi State 0

Podocnemis sextuberculata State 1



Podocnemis unifilis State 2

Maxilla (accessory ridge(s))

Character 39





Euraxemys essweini State 0

Bothremys kellyi State 1

Maxilla (triturating surface pits)

Character 38



Maxilla (maxilla-quadratojugal contact)

Bothremys cooki

Character 40









Maxilla (orbitonarial bar)

Character 41

Plate 6. Characters 37 to 41



Maxilla (ventral rim of orbit)

Character 42

Maxilla (medial expansion of trit. surface)

Character 43

labial ridge



Maxilla-palatine (secondary pl. length)

Character 44

Stereogenys cromeri Podocnemis unifilis
State 0 State 1

Maxilla (labial ridge height and width)

Character 45



Vomer (number, presence/absence)

Character 46

Vomer (central bar contacts)

Azabbaremys moragjonesi

State 1

Character 47

Euraxemys essweini

State 0

Plate 7. Characters 42 to 47







Euraxemys essweini Cordichelys antiqua Stereogenys cromeri

State 0

State 1

State 2

Palatine (medial edges of palatal cleft)

Character 51

Plate 8. Characters 48 to 53



Stereogenys cromeri State 1

Podocnemis sextuberculata State 0

Palatine (dorsal process contacts frontal)

Character 53





Plate 9. Characters 54 to 57





Galianemys whitei

State 1

Azabbaremys moragjonesi

State 0

Quadrate (groove on incisura columella)

Character 58



Emys orbicularis State 0

Podocnemis sextuberculata State 1

Quadrate (medial process)

Character 60





State 0

Galianemys whitei Pelomesuda subrufa Nigeremys gigantea State 2

Quadrate (condylus mandibularis position)

State 1

Character 62







Galianemys whitei State 0

Euraxemys essweni State 1

Pelusios sinatus State 2

Quadrate (fossa precolumellaris)

Character 59





Emys orbicularis State 0

Erymnochelys madagascariensis State 1

Quadrate (quadrate-basioccipital contact)

Character 61



Emys orbicularis State 0

Podocnemis sextuberculata State 1

Quadrate (condylus mandibularis shape)

Character 63

Plate 10. Characters 58 to 63





Podocnemis unifilis State 0 Stereogenys cromeri State 1



Bairdemys hartsteini

State 2

Quadrate (ventral process)

Character 64



Cearachelys placidoi

pterygoid flange





Galianemys emringeri Di Hamadachelys escuilliei

State 0





State 1

Cavum pterygoidei Podocnemis expansa Ery

State 2

Podocnemis unifilis

Erymnochelys madagascariensis

State 3

Pterygoid (flooring and anterior opening)

Character 66





Euraxemys essweini State 0 Cearachelys placidoi State 1

Quadrate lateral process ridge

Podocnemis expansa State 2 Pterygoid (cavum pterygoidei)

Character 65



Araripemys barretoi State 0



cavum pterygoidei

Hamadachelys escuillei State 1



Podocnemis expansa State 2

Pterygoid (pterygoid flange)

Character 67

Plate 11. Characters 64 to 67





Dermochelys coriacea State 0

Hamadachelys escuilliei State 1

Pterygoid (proc. trochlearis pterygoidei)

Character 68





State 0

Hamadachelys escuilliei Erymnochelys madagascariensis State 1

Pterygoid (proc. trochlearis lateral margin)

Character 69



Plate 12. Characters 68 to 72





fpccp fpccp



Podocnemis unifilis State 0 Pelusios sinatus State 1

Character 73





Pelomedusa subrufa State 0 Labrostochelys galkini State 1

Pterygoids (midline contact pterygoids)

Pterygoid (posterior margin and fpcci)

Character 75



Chelydra serpentina State 0



Emydura sp. **State 1**

Epipterygoid (presence/absence)

Character 77

Pterygoid (for. post. can. car. pal. (fpccp))

Character 74





Kinosternon subrubrum State 0

State 1

Pterygoid (proc. pterygoideus ext. (pte))

Character 76



State 0



Bothremys maghrebiana State 1

Supraoccipital (supraoccipital-quadrate) Character 78

Plate 13. Characters 73 to 78





Pelomesuda subrufa State 0

Podocnemis unifilis

State 1

Supraoccipital (crista suproccp. pres/abs)

Character 79







Arenila krebsi State 0

Podocnemis unifilis State 1



Dacquemis paleomorpha State 2

Supraoccipital (dorsal exposure)

Supraoccipital (cris. sup. horizontal plate)

Character 80

Character 82

Plate 14. Characters 79 to 82





Podocnemis unifilis State 0

Bairdemys venezuelensis State 1

Supraoccipital (cris. sup. lat. expans)

Character 81





State 1

Proganochelys quenstedti State 0



Azabbaremys moragjonesi

State 2

Exoccipital (for. jugulare posterius (fjp))

Character 83



Exoccipital (ventral process)

Character 86





Podocnemis unifilis State 0

Bothremys maghrebiana
State 1

Exoccipital (condylus occipitalis bones) Character 84





Araripemys barretoi State 0

Azabbaremys moragjonesi

State 1





Euraxemys essweni State 2 Brasilemys josai State 3

Exoccipital (exoccipital-quadrate contact)

Character 85

Plate 15. Characters 83 to 86





Lemurchelys diasphax State 0

Latentemys plowdeni State 1

Exoccipital (foramen nervi hypoglossi) Character 87





Galianemys emringeri State 0

Pelomedusa subrufa State 1

Basioccipital (basioccp.-opist. contact)

Character 89





Peltocephalus dumerilianus Erymnochelys madagascariensis

State 0

State 1

Basioccipital (horizontal shelf)

Character 91





Pelomedusa subrufa State 0

Bothremys maghrebiana State 1

Basioccipital (length/width ratio)

Character 88





Hamadachelys escuilliei State 0

Cordichelys antiqua State 1

Basioccipital (tubera position)

Character 90



Podocnemis expansa

Prootic (hyomandibular nerve and canal)

Character 92

Plate 16. Characters 87 to 92





Podocnemis unifilis State 0

Galianemys whitei State 1

Prootic (for. stap.-temp. orientation)

Character 93





Podocnemis unifilis State 0

Bothremys cooki State 1

Prootic (for. stap.-temp.-for. nervi trigemini)

Character 94





Emydura macquarri State 0

Euraxemys essweni State 1

Opisthotic (processus interfenestralis (pi))

Character 97





Pelomedusa subrufa State 0

Euraxemys essweni State 1



Podocnemis sextuberculata State 2

Prootic (ventral exposure) **Character 95**





pto

Podocnemis unifilis State 0

Gopherus polyphemus State 1 **Prootic** (processus trochlearis oticum (pto))

Character 96







Euraxemys essweni
State 0

Azabbaremys moragjonesi

State 1

Opisthotic (fen. post. (fpo) closed medially)

Character 98

pa op op bo bo bo bo bo



Euraxemys essweni **State 0**

Galianemys whitei State 1

Opisthotic (fenst. post. horizontal slit)

Character 99



uraxemys esswen State 0 Chedighaii hutchisoni State 1

Opisthotic (thin horizontal flange)

Character 101

State 2 Basiphenoid (basiph-quad. contact) Character 102

Plate 18. Characters 98 to 102



den

Euraxemys essweni State 0

Dentary (lingual ridge)

Character 107

Cearachelys placidoi

State 1



Araripemys barretoi

Proganochelys quenstedti

Podocnemis unifilis State 0

Bothremys cooki State 1

Basiphenoid (processus clinoideus)

Character 104

Plate 19. Characters 103 to 107





Bothremys cooki

State 1

Euraxemys essweni State 0

Dentary (pits)

Character 108

ingual ridge

Erymnochelys madagascariensis Kurmademys kallamedensis
State 0
State 1

Dentary (U-shaped ling. ridge at symphysis)

lingual ridge labial ridge

State 1

cromeri

Stereogen

State 2

Character 109





Euraxemys essweni State 0

Erymnochelys madagascariensis State 1

Dentary (fused/sutured symphysis)

Character 110





Euraxemys essweni State 0

Bothremys maghrebiana State 1

Dentary (posterolateral exposure)

Character 112

Plate 20. Characters 108 to 112

Erymnochelys madagascariensis

Dentary (triturating surface width)

(urmademys kallamedensis

Character 111

State 0



Plate 21. Characters 113 to 117



Plate 22. Characters 118 to 123







Trachemys scripta

State 0

Peltocephalus dumerilianus State 1

Pelvic girdle (tenth thoracic centrum)

Character 128

Plate 23. Characters 124 to 128



Plate 24. Characters 129 to 133



Character 137

Plate 25. Characters 134 to 137



Plate 26. Characters 138 to 141



Plate 27. Characters 142 to 146



Plate 28. Characters 147 to 150



Character 155

Plate 29. Characters 151 to 155



Plate 30. Characters 156 to 159





Platychelys oberndorferi State 0

Erymnochelys madagascariensis State 1



Chelus fimbriata State 2 Thoracic rib 1 (thor. rib 1 vs 2) Character 160





Erymnochelys madagascariensis State 0

State 1

Platychelys oberndorferi

Thoracic rib 1 (articulation facet)

Character 161

Plate 31. Characters 160 to 163





Erymnochelys madagascariensis State 0 Platychelys oberndorferi State 1

Thoracic vertebrae (shape) Character 162





Chelus fimbriata State 0

Erymnochelys madagascariensis State 1

Costovert. tunnel (presence/absence) Character 163

ίΠ hyo Ab mes hyp xi VII Notoemys zapatocaensis Kayentachelys aprix State 0 State 1 Kayentachelys aprix Podocnemis sextuberculata State 0 State 1 hyo IV hyo Ì. V Illa hyp VI hyo IIIb hyp VII xi VI Podocnemis sextuberculata VII Platysternon megacephalum State 3 State 2 **Mesoplastron** (absence/presence) Araripemys barretoi Kinosternon leucostomun Character 164 State 2 State 3 Entoplastron (shape of the entoplastron) **Character 165** Tuberosity ent IV hyo mes Kayentachelys aprix Foxemys mechinorum hyp State 0 State 1 Entoplastron (entoplastron vs axil. notch) VII Character 166 Kayentachelys aprix Podocnemis sextuberculata State 0 State 1 **Epiplastron** (anter. projected tuberosities) Character 167

Ш.,

ent

epi en

Plate 32. Characters 164 to 167



Character 170

Hyoplastron (central fontanelle)

Character 171

Plate 33. Characters 168 to 171



Plate 34. Characters 172 to 176



Plate 35. Characters 177 to 182



Kayentachelys aprix State 0 V hyp VI xi Podocnemis sextuberculata

State 1

Inframarginals (inframarginal scutes)

Character 186

Abdominal (length of abd. vs femorals Character 187

Araripemys barretoi State 2

Plate 36. Characters 183 to 187