Indigenous Australian household structure:

- a simple data collection tool and
- implications for close contact transmission

of communicable diseases

- ⁵ Thiripura Vino¹, Gurmeet Singh^{2,3}, Belinda Davison², Patricia Therese
- ⁶ Campbell^{5,8}, Michael John Lydeamore^{1,5}, Andrew Robinson^{1,6,7}, Jodie
- 7 McVernon⁸, Steven Y. C. Tong^{2,9}, and Nicholas Geard^{4,10}
- **⁸** ¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- ⁹ ²Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, Northern
 ¹⁰ Territory, Australia
- ¹¹ ³NT medical Program of Flinders and James Cook Universities
- ¹² ⁴Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Victoria, ¹³ Australia
- ¹⁴ ⁵Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne,
- ¹⁵ Victoria, Australia
- ¹⁶ ⁶School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- ¹⁷ ⁷Centre of Excellence for Biosecurity Risk Analysis, Victoria, Australia
- ¹⁸ ⁸Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, The Royal Melbourne Hospital
- and The University of Melbourne, at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and
- ²⁰ Immunity, Victoria, 3000, Australia
- ²¹ ⁹Victorian Infectious Disease Service, The Royal Melbourne Hospital, and The
- ²² University of Melbourne, at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity,
- ²³ Victoria, Australia
- ²⁴ ¹⁰School of Computing and Information Systems, University of Melbourne, Victoria,
- 25 Australia
- ²⁶ Corresponding author:
- 27 Steven Y. C. Tong
- 28 Email address: Steven.Tong@mh.org.au

29 ABSTRACT

Households are an important location for the transmission of communicable diseases. Social contact 30 between household members is typically more frequent, of greater intensity, and is more likely to involve 31 people of different age groups than contact occurring in the general community. Understanding household 32 structure in different populations is therefore fundamental to explaining patterns of disease transmission 33 in these populations. Indigenous populations in Australia tend to live in larger households than non-34 35 Indigenous populations, but limited data is available on the structure of these households, and how they differ between remote and urban communities. We have developed a novel approach to the collection of 36 household structure data, suitable for use in a variety of contexts, which provides a detailed view of age, 37 gender, and room occupancy patterns in remote and urban Australian Indigenous households. Here we 38 report analysis of data collected using this tool, which quantifies the extent of crowding in Indigenous 39 households, particularly in remote areas. We use this data to generate matrices of age-specific contact rates, as used by mathematical models of infectious disease transmission. To demonstrate the impact 41 of household structure, we use a mathematical model to simulate an influenza-like illness in different 42 populations. Our simulations suggest that outbreaks in remote populations are likely to spread more 43 rapidly and to a greater extent than outbreaks in non-Indigenous populations. 44

1. Household Size distribution for Australian Bureau of Statistics Census data (2011) for the towns

selected in ABC study

45

46



Figure 1. Household Size distribution for Census data



47 2. Contact matrices for each shire

Figure 2. Household Contact matrices for shires



48 3. Effect of weighting by rooms on contact matrices

Figure 3. Effect of weighting by rooms on contact matrices