Bioinformatic analysis with UPARSE.


1) Forward and reverse reads were first merged using the command fastq_merge_pairs, allowing for a maximum of ten mismatches between reads, and a minimum percent ID of 10. Only merged reads with a length above 100 bp were retained for further analysis (primer and adaptor sequences were removed by the DNA Sequencing and Genomics Laboratory, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki).

2) Reads were filtered using the command -fastq_filter discarding reads with expected error scores below 1. Reads were not trimmed since this is not necessary with overlapping paired reads, since the reverse reads start at a primer-binding locus, and the merged sequence consequently ends at that locus. It should be noted that most reads discarded by quality filtering are only temporarily discarded and not lost from the analysis. All reads, including low-quality reads and singleton sequences, are used as input for making the OTU table. Quality-filtered reads are used as input for OTU clustering because otherwise, low-quality reads cause large numbers of spurious OTUs. Most low-quality reads successfully map to OTU sequences and are therefore recovered when the OTU table is made. This can be seen in the table S1, where the number of filtered reads is smaller than the number of reads in the final OTU table.

3) After filtering, reads were dereplicated with the command -derep_fulllength. Dereplicated reads were used as input for uparse, using the command cluster_otus. The cluster_otus command performs 97% OTU clustering, and removes chimeric sequences.

4) 97% OTUs were aligned to silva (bacterial reads) and unite (fungi) databases to determine taxonomic identity using the command -usearch_global. For taxonomic classification of the bacterial OTUs, the reference database RDP16s training set v.14 (Wang et al., 2007) was used, and for the fungal OTUs the RDP ITS Warcup training set v.4 (Deshpande et al., 2016) was used. The queries against the databases were done by using the RDP Naïve Bayesian Classifier with bootstrap cut-off at 80% (Wang et al., 2007).

5) The command usearch_global was then used to construct the final OTU table, with 97% similarity between OTUs, also using the reads discarded during the filtering step.

Several different pipelines exist for analysis of microbial communities (e.g. Schloss et al., 2009, Caporaso et al., 2010). The quality of the sequencing data, together with the choice of analysis pipeline and options chosen within these pipelines affect the final result (Knight et al., 2018). Overall, USEARCH/UPARSE performs well in comparison studies between analysis methods (Edgar, 2013), and appears to perform well the data in this study.
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