**Supplementary Table 1**. Criteria to classify and interpret the measurements into indexes, according to data distributions and literature.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Observations** | **Classification** | | |
| *Rugosity Range:* | | *(Graham & Nash, 2013; Faud 2011).* |
| <1.25 | | Low |
| 1.26-1.50 | | Medium |
| 1.51-1.75 | | Moderately High |
| 1.75 | | High |
| *Density Range ( from belt-transect abundances:* | | *(NEPA, 2014)* |
| <0.25 | | Critical |
| 0.25-0.49 | | Poor |
| 0.5-1.0 | | Fair |
| 1.1-2.5 | | Good |
| >2.5 | | Very Good |
| *Maximum # of aquatic recreationists during peak hours:* | | *Relative Exposure:* |
| 0-5 | | Very Low |
| 5-10 | | Low |
| 10-20 | | Moderate |
| 20-30 | | High |
| >30 | Very High | | |