Table S5. Comparison of songs given in response to duet playbacks with spontaneous duets and clouded leopard songs given by the same groups (Pairwise comparisons using Wilcoxon rank sum test, with Benjamini & Hochberg corrections).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Context 1** | **Context 2** | ***P* value** |
| ***Introductory ‘hoo’ series duration (s)*** |
| Playback duet response | Spontaneous duetLeopard song | 0.151<0.05\* |
| Spontaneous duet | Leopard song | <0.05\* |
| ***Number of introductory ‘hoo’ notes*** |
| Playback duet response | Spontaneous duetLeopard song | 0.22<0.05\* |
| Spontaneous duet | Leopard song | <0.05\* |
| ***Song duration (s)*** |
| Playback duet response | Spontaneous duetLeopard song | 0.222<0.05\* |
| Spontaneous duet | Leopard song | <0.05\* |
| ***Latency to 1st great call (s)*** |
| Playback duet response | Spontaneous duetLeopard song | 0.690<0.05\* |
| Spontaneous duet | Leopard song | <0.05\* |
| ***Latency to 1st ‘sharp wow’ (s)*** |
| Playback duet response | Spontaneous duetLeopard song | 0.905<0.05\* |
| Spontaneous duet | Leopard song | <0.05\* |
| ***Number of ‘sharp wow’* ¤** |
| Playback duet response | Spontaneous duetLeopard song | 0.524<0.01\* |
| Spontaneous duet | Leopard song | <0.05\* |

¤ As there are ties present in the data, Dunn’s test with Benjamini & Hochberg corrections was used to perform multiple pairwise comparisons between song types on the number of ‘sharp wow’ notes so as to correct z-quantiles for ties. (\* P<0.05).