**Table S7** Geographical structure assessed using analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA). AMOVA subdivides genetic diversity into hierarchical components and estimates the parameter  $F_{ST}$ , which assesses the relative divergence between populations. The statistical significance of variance components and  $F_{ST}$  indices were assessed by bootstrapping using 1000 replicates. Analyses were carried out sequentially for all samples based on CYP2U1

Hierarchical clusters	Hierarchy	Variance components	% of	F	<i>P</i> -value
	_	-	variation		
1. Nigerian populations (overall) <sup>1</sup>	1	Within populations	97.68	-	-
		Among populations	2.32	0.02318	0.00978
2. Among regions (North <sup>2</sup> vs.	4	Within populations	97.45	0.025530	0.01466
Central <sup>2</sup> vs. West <sup>2</sup> vs. South <sup>2</sup> )		Within populations within regions	1.01	.01028	0.25122
		Among regions	1.54	0.01541	0.15152
3. Among regions (North <sup>2</sup> vs.	2	Within populations	98.11	0.01895	0.00684
South <sup>2</sup> )		Within populations within regions	3.57	0.03513	0.00196
		Among regions	-1.68	-0.01677	0.96481
4. North central (Kwara + Niger) vs.	2	Within populations	96.40	0.035980	0.00978
remaining populations		Within populations within groups	1.29	.01317	0.12414
		Among groups	2.31	0.02311	0.18768
5. Ekiti vs. remaining populations <sup>3</sup>	2	Within populations	96.70	0.03304	0.01075
		Within populations within groups	1.93	0.01960	0.00978
		Among groups	1.37	0.01371	0.22190
6. Oyo vs. remaining populations <sup>3</sup>	2	Within populations	97.69	0.023050	0.01369
		Within populations within groups	2.33	.02326	0.03421
		Among groups	-0.02	-0.00021	0.57967
7. Bayelsa vs. remaining	2	Within populations	97.12	0.028840	0.01075
populations <sup>3</sup>		Within populations within groups	1.96	.01974	0.02346
		Among groups	0.93	0.00929	0.33627
8. Sokoto vs. remaining populations <sup>3</sup>	2	Within populations	98.59	0.01412	0.01271
		Within populations within groups	2.82	0.02780	0.00684
		Among groups	-1.41	-0.01408	0.83480
9. Kano vs. remaining populations <sup>3</sup>	2	Within populations	98.77	0.01233	0.00782
		Within populations within groups	2.84	0.02794	0.00880
		Among groups	-1.61	-0.01606	0.87195
10. Niger vs. remaining populations <sup>3</sup>	2	Within populations	97.53	0.024680	0.01760
2 31 1		Within populations within groups	2.14	.02152	0.00782
		Among groups	0.32	0.00324	0.44184

11. Kwara vs. remaining populations <sup>3</sup>	2	Within populations Within populations within groups Among groups	96.91 2.14 0.95	0.030940 .02165 0.00949	0.01271 0.01369 0.43304
12. Taraba vs. remaining populations <sup>3</sup>	2	Within populations Within populations within groups Among groups	107.54 3.45 -10.99	-0.07537 0.03110 -0.10988	0.00587 0.00196 1.00000

<sup>1</sup>Overall is Nigerian Muscovy duck populations which were as follows (number of samples in parentheses): Taraba (n=3), Sokoto (n=8), Ekiti (n=36), Kano (n=52), Bayelsa (n=67), Oyo (n=71) and Kwara (n=64), Niger (n=15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>North (Sokoto, Kano, Taraba); Central (Kwara, Taraba); West (Oyo, Ekiti); South (Bayelsa)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>remaining populations include all the populations listed above except the mentioned population.