**Supplemental Table 1:**

**Screening scores for all DP participants**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Participant ID | CFMT1 | CFPT2 | Famous3 |
| P1 | 40 | 52 | 47.37 |
| P2 | 33 | 48 | 38.89 |
| P3 | 44 | 46 | 40.43 |
| P4 | 32 | 66 | 46.43 |
| P5 | 39 | 62 | 67.30 |
| P6 | 32 | 80 | 32.14 |
| P7 | 42 | 66 | 21.05 |
| P8 | 33 | 54 | 55.93 |
| P9 | 36 | 58 | 31.67 |
| P10 | 42 | 66 | 65.91 |
| P11 | 43 | 44 | 28.33 |
| P12 | 33 | 64 | 47.83 |
| P13 | 41 | Not completed | 40.00 |
| P14 | 45 | 60 | 43.86 |
| P15 | 35 | 70 | 25.00 |
| P16 | 35 | 80 | 49.02 |
| P17 | 31 | 48 | 31.48 |
| P18 | 36 | 78 | 57.89 |
| P19 | 38 | 66 | Not completed |
| P20 | 46 | 38 | 15.52 |
| P21 | 45 | 78 | 79.00 |
| P22 | 42 | 52 | 45.83 |
| P23 | 41 | 66 | 80.36 |

*Note*. To be included in the DP sample, participants were required to self-report severe difficulties in everyday face recognition and to show impaired performance (at least 1.7 SDs from the control mean, e.g., DeGutis et al., 2014, Murray et al., 2021) on at least two of three objective screening tasks (for recent discussion about the benefits of using more liberal inclusion criteria in the diagnosis of DP see Burns et al., 2022; DeGutis et al., 2023). Participants also scored within the typical range on the Autism Quotient (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001).

1 The Cambridge Face Memory Test (Duchaine & Nakayama, 2006); 1.7SD cut-off score of 46/72 (norms from the age-matched controls of Duchaine, Yovel & Nakayama, 2007).

2 The Cambridge Face Perception Test (Duchaine, Germine & Nakayama, 2007); 1.7SD cut-off score of 58 (norms from the age-matched controls of Duchaine, Germine & Nakayama, 2007). Note that scores represent the number of errors, such that higher values indicate poorer performance.

3 Famous Face Test (Bate et al., 2019b); 1.7SD cut-off score of 80% (norms from the age-matched controls of Bate et al., 2019b).
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