Table S5: Estimates from PGLS regressions of the dentary length on the lower jaw length in which a trend model was assumed for trait evolution when the taxa lacking data on the dentary area were excluded from the analyses.

| Explanatory variable | Estimate | SE | t | Р |
|----------------------|----------|-------|--------|---------|
| (A) Dentary Length 1 | | | | |
| Intercept | -1.055 | 0.294 | -3.585 | < 0.001 |
| Lower jaw length | 0.993 | 0.052 | 19.191 | < 0.001 |
| Trend | 0.006 | 0.003 | 1.893 | 0.066 |
| (B) Dentary Length 2 | | | | |
| Intercept | -0.359 | 0.124 | -2.885 | 0.006 |
| Lower jaw length | 1.013 | 0.022 | 46.332 | < 0.001 |
| Trend | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.057 | 0.955 |

(A) The Dentary Length 1 (log) was included as the response variable and the lower jaw length (log) and the trend were included as explanatory variables. (B) The Dentary Length 2 (log) was included as the response variable and the lower jaw length (log) and the trend were included as explanatory variables (see Fig. 1 for definitions of length measurements).