	Item No.	Recommendation	Paş No	ge Relevant text from . manuscript
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1	Exploring the Relationships
				Between Pre-Pregnancy BMI,
				Gestational Weight Gain, and
				Nutritional Intake: A Real-
				World Investigation in
				Shandong, China
		( <i>b</i> ) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	1	This study investigated the associations between gestational weight gain (GWG), pre- pregnancy body mass index (BMI), and prenatal diet quality in pregnant women from Shandong, ChinaThis indicates that greater GWGs do not necessarily align with principles of adequate nutrition.
Introduction				
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	2	The profound association
				between maternal and newborn
				health has been acknowledged
				for an extended period, and
				endeavors to enhance health
				outcomes persistPrior
				research has examined the
				prenatal dietary quality of
				expectant mothers. In the United
				States, the Healthy Eating

## STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

				Index-2010 score for these
				women stands at a mere 50.7
				out of 100
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	2-3	This exploration sought to
				identify a subgroup particularly
				susceptible to compromised
				dietary quality. We posited that
				expectant mothers with both
				pre-pregnancy BMI and GWG
				within standard parameters
				would exhibit superior prenatal
				dietary quality.
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	3	A face-to-face interview was
				employed to gather
				demographic information from
				participants, encompassing age,
				educational attainment,
				occupation, parity, and
				household income.
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure,	3	The participants in this study
		follow-up, and data collection		were expectant mothers who
				visited the obstetrics outpatient
				clinic at Jinan Maternal and
				Child Health Hospital in
				Shandong Province between
				January 2021 and December
				2022.
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	3	Participants had to meet the
		participants. Describe methods of follow-up		following criteria: (1) Chinese
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case		citizenship; (2) pregnancy≤12

ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls gestational weeks; (3) have a maternity record established at the institution and have undergone routine obstetric check-ups; (4) face-to-face completion of the survey; (5) plan to give birth at the hospital; and (6) provide signed informed consent. Exclusion criteria included: (1) non-local migrant populations unable to attend routine check-ups; (2) individuals with metabolic disorders or chronic conditions such as tumors or tuberculosis.					
Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants       maternity record established at the institution and have undergone routine obstetric check-ups; (4) face-to-face completion of the survey; (5) plan to give birth at the hospital; and (6) provide signed informed consent. Exclusion criteria included: (1) non-local migrant populations unable to attend routine check-ups; (2) individuals with metabolic disorders or chronic conditions such as tumors or tuberculosis.         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case       3-4       A face-to-face interview was			ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls		gestational weeks; (3) have a
participants       the institution and have undergone routine obstetric check-ups; (4) face-to-face completion of the survey; (5) plan to give birth at the hospital; and (6) provide signed informed consent. Exclusion criteria included: (1) non-local migrant populations unable to attend routine check-ups; (2) individuals with metabolic disorders or chronic conditions such as tumors or tuberculosis.         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case       34       A face-to-face interview was			<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of		maternity record established at
Variables       7       Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confunders and effect modifiers       3-4       A fracto-face			participants		the institution and have
Variables       7       Cleach define all outcomes exprosures predictors potential confounders and effort modifiers       3.4       A face-to-face         Completion of the survey; (5)       plan to give birth at the hospital;       and (6) provide signed informed         consent. Exclusion criteria       included: (1) non-local migrant         populations unable to attend       routine check-ups; (2)         individuals with metabolic       disorders or chronic conditions         such as tumors or tuberculosis.       such as tumors or tuberculosis.					undergone routine obstetric
Completion of the survey; (5)         plan to give birth at the hospital;         and (6) provide signed informed         consent. Exclusion criteria         included: (1) non-local migrant         populations unable to attend         routine check-ups; (2)         individuals with metabolic         disorders or chronic conditions         such as tumors or tuberculosis.         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and         unexposed         Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per         case					check-ups; (4) face-to-face
year       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers       34       A face-to-face interview was					completion of the survey; (5)
And (6) provide signed informed consent. Exclusion criteria included: (1) non-local migrant populations unable to attend routine check-ups; (2) individuals with metabolic disorders or chronic conditions such as tumors or tuberculosis.         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed         Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case					plan to give birth at the hospital;
Variables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers.       3.4       A face-to-face interview was					and (6) provide signed informed
Variables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers       3-4       A face-to-face interview was					consent. Exclusion criteria
Yariables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers       3-4       A face-to-face interview was					included: (1) non-local migrant
Yariables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers       3-4       3-4					populations unable to attend
Mariables       7       Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers       3-4       A face-to-face interview was					routine check-ups; (2)
disorders or chronic conditions         such as tumors or tuberculosis.         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and         unexposed         Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per         case         Variables       7         Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders and effect modifiers       3.4					individuals with metabolic
such as tumors or tuberculosis.         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed         Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case         Variables       7         Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders and effect modifiers       3-4					disorders or chronic conditions
(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed         Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case         Variables       7         Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders and effect modifiers       3-4					such as tumors or tuberculosis.
Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders and effect modifiers 3-4 A face-to-face interview was			( <i>b</i> ) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed		
Case Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders and effect modifiers 3-4 A face-to-face interview was			<i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per		
Variables $7$ Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders and effect modifiers $3-4$ A face-to-face interview was			case		
variables 7 Clearly define an outcomes, predictors, predictors, predictors, and effect modifiers. 5-4 A face-to-face interview was	Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers.	3-4	A face-to-face interview was
Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable employed to gather			Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable		employed to gather
demographic information from					demographic information from
participants, encompassing age,					participants, encompassing age,
educational attainment.					educational attainment.
occupation, parity, and					occupation, parity, and
household income. The height					household income. The height
and weight of the expectant					and weight of the expectant
mothers were recorded during					mothers were recorded during
their initial antenatal visit from					their initial antenatal visit from
which the pre-pregnancy RMI					which the pre-pregnancy RMI
was derived. We assessed the					was derived. We assessed the
dietary quality of expectant					

				mothers using the Chinese
				Healthy Dietary Index for
				Pregnancy (CHDI-P)
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment	4	Dietary intake data were
measurement		(measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group		gathered using a 24-hour
				dietary recall survey
				administered over three
				consecutive days. For the initial
				survey, both the type and
				quantity of food consumed were
				obtained through face-to-face
				interviews conducted by
				professionally trained
				clinicians. To enhance data
				accuracy, participants were
				aided in describing their food
				intake through the utilization of
				standardized containers (e.g.,
				bowl, spoon, cup) and food
				imagery supplied by the
				hospital. To prioritize the safety
				of the expectant mothers, the
				subsequent surveys were
				conducted telephonically. To
				further refine data accuracy,
				participants were requested to
				furnish photographs of all
				consumed foods for investigator
				validation.
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	No	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	no	

Continued on next page

Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which	4	Descriptive statistics for count data
variables		groupings were chosen and why		are expressed as numbers and
				percentages, while continuous
				variables are represented by means
				and standard deviations. Between-
				group comparisons for count data
				were conducted using the Pearson's
				$\chi 2$ test. For continuous variables,
				between-group differences were
				assessed using analysis of variance
				(ANOVA) or the Kruskal-Wallis
				test, contingent upon data
				normality.
Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	4	Generalized linear models were
methods				employed to adjust for confounding
				variables when comparing CHDI-P
				scores across groups. Covariates
				were selected based on prior
				research and included age, income,
				educational level, smoking status,
				energy intake, number of
				pregnancies and deliveries (Parker
				et al., 2019; Shin et al., 2016). Post
				hoc tests, using the Tukey-adjusted
				method, elucidated between-group
				disparities. All confidence intervals
				were computed at the 95% level,
				and a p-value less than 0.05 was
				deemed statistically significant.
				Statistical analyses were executed
				using Python 3.6.0.
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	<b>n</b> 0	

		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	no	
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	no	No applicable
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed		
		Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling		
		strategy		
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	no	
Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially eligible, examined	5	See table1 and table 2
		for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed		
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	no	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	no	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on	5	See table1 and table 2
		exposures and potential confounders		
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	no	
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	no	
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	5-6	See table 3 and 4
		Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure		
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures		
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision	Table3/4/5/6	See Table3/4/5/6
		(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were		
		included		
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	no	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time	no	
		period		

Continued on next page

Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	no	
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	6	This study explored the quality of
				prenatal diets among a convenience
				sample of pregnant women in
				Shandong, China. Additionally, we
				explored the associations between
				pre-pregnancy BMI, GWG, and
				maternal dietary quality. While the
				Alternative Healthy Eating Index
				for Pregnancy (AHEI-P) has been
				employed in previous research to
				quantitatively evaluate the dietary
				quality of pregnant women (Hsiao
				et al., 2019; Parker et al., 2019;
				Quansah et al., 2022). Our study
				sample was drawn from Shandong,
				China. Consequently, we utilized
				the CHDI-P scale, which is better
				tailored for Chinese pregnant
				women. Parker et al. determined
				that using the AHEI-P scale (Parker
				et al., 2019), the overall prenatal
				dietary quality of pregnant women
				was suboptimal, achieving a mean
				score of just 61.2 out of 130.
				Similarly, in our study, the average
				score for pregnant women aligned
				with this finding. Even after
				equiproportional conversion, the
				score indicates that the prenatal

				dietary quality of Chinese pregnant
				women remains less than ideal.
				Grouping by GWG did not manifest
				any significant differences in
				marginal means among the groups.
				Conversely, when categorized
				based on pre-pregnancy BMI, the
				underweight group had a score that
				exceeded the obese group by 2.5
				points. While a shift of 5% in
				dietary quality score is necessary to
				deem it clinically significant(Miller
				et al., 2015), it is plausible to posit
				an association between pre-
				pregnancy BMI and dietary quality.
				Specifically, pregnant women with
				a BMI of $\geq$ 25 may be at an
				elevated risk for malnutrition.
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss	8	This study has some limitations.
		both direction and magnitude of any potential bias		Firstly, the sample size was limited,
				primarily because participants were
				required to deliver at our hospital.
				This criterion might have
				introduced some bias. Nonetheless,
				this was necessary to ensure
				uniformity across this series of
				studies. Another notable
				observation was that pregnant
				women accompanied by their
				partners were more inclined to
				participate and less likely to drop
				out.

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	7-8	Our study examined the association between pre-pregnancy BMI,
				GWG, and dietary quality.
				However, a more holistic approach
				might involve integrating prenatal
				dietary quality instead of
				concentrating solely on either BMI
				or GWG. Both pre-pregnancy BMI
				and GWG proved valuable in
				distinguishing and predicting
				prenatal diet quality. Notably, pre-
				pregnancy BMI was more sensitive
				to overall diet quality and
				restriction of certain foods, whereas
				GWG was more indicative of
				dietary adequacy. It's important to
				highlight that the dietary quality we
				assessed pertains to the early stage
				of a woman's pregnancy, while the
				GWG was determined by the
				difference between the initial and
				pre-delivery weights. The dietary
				habits of pregnant women typically
				vary throughout the pregnancy. For
				initial dietary quality assessment,
				GWG may not be as effective an
				indicator as BMI, especially in the
				middle or later stages of pregnancy.
				This observation warrants further
				investigation in subsequent
				research.
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	8	Our study underscores the

Other information	
	with superior dietary quality.
	conception, given its correlation
	attain a normal BMI prior to
	recommendation for women to
	our study bolster the
	of unhealthy foods. The data from
	impact by curbing the consumption
	group could achieve the most
	Future interventions tailored for this
	for suboptimal prenatal diet quality.
	vulnerable group at heightened risk
	overweight and obese women as a
	findings pinpoint pre-pregnancy
	and prenatal diet quality, our
	between preconception BMI, GWG,
	research to validate the relationship
	While there is a need for further
	predicting prenatal diet quality.
	and GWG as critical factors in
	significance of preconception BMI

 Funding
 22
 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the no original study on which the present article is based
 no

\*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.