## **APPENDIX**

This supplementary file includes all tables, Tables S1-S9. You can also see explanations of some tables after the table titles.

**Table S1 Abbreviations and expansions** 

Abbreviation	Expansion
ADNI	Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative
AE	Autoencoders
AEH	Atypical Endometrial Hyperplasia
AutoML	Automated Machine Learning
AWS	Amazon Web Services
BA	Balanced Accuracy
BM	Bookmaker Informedness
BPH	Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia
BRFSS	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
CHD	Cleveland Heart Disease Dataset
CKD	Chronic Kidney Disease
CLAHE	Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization
CNN	Convolutional Neural Networks
CT	Computed Tomography
DRD	Diabetes Readmission Dataset
DRG	Diagnosis-Related Group
DSD	Diabetes Surveillance Dataset
EC	Endometrial Cancer
EDA	Exploratory Data Analysis
FN	False Negative
FP	False Positive
FSL	Few-Shot Learning
GA	Genetic Algorithm
HCV	Hepatitis C Virus
ННО	Harris Hawk Optimization
HPO	Hyperparameter Optimization
IBD	Inflammatory Bowel Disease
ICD-10	International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision
LIME	Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations
LUTS	Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms
mAML	Microbiome-based Automated Machine Learning
MALDI-MS	Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Mass Spectrometry
MCC	Matthews Correlation Coefficient
MK	Markedness
ML	Machine Learning
MLP	Multi-Layer Perceptron
MOGAHHO	Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm and Harris Hawk Optimization
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MS	Mass Spectrometry
NACC	National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center

Non-Atypical Endometrial Hyperplasia **NAEH NUTS** Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics OAI Osteoarthritis Initiative **OWL** Web Ontology Language Principal Component Analysis **PCA** Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses **PRISMA** Rheumatoid Arthritis RA ROI Region of Interest **SHAP** SHapley Additive exPlanations **SVD** Singular Vector Decomposition TN True Negative Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution **TOPSIS** True Positive TP Tree-based Pipeline Optimization Tool **TPOT** Turbo Spin Echo **TSE** TVUTransvaginal Ultrasound T2D Type 2 Diabetes University of California, Irvine (ML Repository) UCI XAI eXplainable Artificial Intelligence

Table S2 Popular AutoML platforms and tools

AutoML platform	Access to data	Supported data types
Amazon SageMaker Autopilot	Registered, chargeable	Tabular, Time series, Image, Text
Apple Create ML	Free	Image, Text, Tabular
ATM	Free	Tabular, Image, Text
AutoGluon (Amazon)	Registered, chargeable	Image, Text, Tabular data
Auto-Keras	Free	Text, Image, Tabular
AutoPrognosis	Free	Tabular
Auto-Sklearn	Free	Tabular
Auto-WEKA	Free	Tabular
BigML OptiML	Free	Tabular, Image, Text, Time series
Darwin	Registered, chargeable	Tabular
DataBricks	Registered, chargeable	Tabular, Text, Time series
DataRobot	Registered, chargeable	Time series, Image, Text
FEDOT	Free	Tabular, Time series, Image, Text
FLAML (Microsoft)	Registered, chargeable	Tabular, Text, Time series
Google Cloud AutoML	Registered, chargeable	Tabular, Image, Text and video data
Google Vertex AI	Registered, chargeable	Tabular, Image, Text and video data
H2O AutoML	Registered, chargeable	Tabular, Text
H2O Driverless AI	Registered, chargeable	Time series, Tabular, Image, Text
JADBIO	Registered, chargeable	Tabular
IBM Watson AutoAI	Registered, chargeable	Tabular, Text, Time series
Ludwig	Free	Tabular, Text, Time series
MLBox	Free	Tabular
MS Azure AutoML	Registered, chargeable	Time series, Image, Text

NNI (Microsoft)	Free	Tabular, Image
PyCaret	Free	Tabular, Text, Time series
TPOT	Free	Tabular, Text, Image

### **Table S3 Evaluation of review**

The review investigates literature on disease prediction with AutoML by addressing ten key aspects: (Q1) whether a structured search and selection process was applied across databases; (Q2) if individual studies and their predictive models were summarized and contextualized; (Q3) whether input features in models were extensively analyzed; (Q4) if feature selection or extraction methods were reported; (Q5) whether techniques to reduce noise in disease data were emphasized; (Q6) if training and testing methodologies were thoroughly examined; (Q7) whether specific AutoML techniques were identified; (Q8) if performance metrics were clearly defined; (Q9) whether there was a broad focus on AutoML research for general human diseases; and (Q10) whether searches covered multiple major digital databases.

Name of review	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	<b>Q</b> 7	Q8	Q9	Q10
Automated computationally intelligent methods										
for ocular vessel segmentation and disease	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	×	×
detection: A review (Preity et al. 2024)										
Automated machine learning with interpretation:										
A systematic review of methodologies and	$\checkmark$	×								
applications in healthcare (Yuan et al. 2024)										
Deep learning in neglected vector-borne diseases:										
a systematic	$\checkmark$	✓	×	×	×	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	×	×
Review (Mishra et al. 2024)										
Comparing code-free and bespoke deep learning	<b>√</b>	1	1	×	×	1	1	1	٧.	×
approaches in ophthalmology (Wong et al. 2024)	•	•	•	~	~	•	•	•	~	•
Machine learning approaches in microbiome										
research: challenges and best practices	×	✓	✓	✓	×	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×
(Papoutsoglou et al. 2023)										
Clinical performance of automated machine										
learning:	1	×	×	1	×	1	1	1	1	v
A systematic review (Thirunavukarasu et al.	•	~	~	•	~	•	•	•	•	•
2023)										
Automated machine learning for healthcare and										
clinical notes analysis (Mustafa & Rahimi	×	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×
Azghadi 2021)										
A literature review on disease detection with	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	1	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	1	<b>√</b>	1	1	✓
automated machine learning (Our Papers)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

### **Table S4 Query statements**

The query statements used in this process, along with the corresponding source details. It was observed that effective filltering could not be achieved in Google Scholar, SpringerLink, and Taylor & Francis due to the general nature of their search functionalities, which do not support advanced search phrases or complex query statements. Additionally, since Hindawi journals have been integrated into Wiley's open access journal portfolio, searches conducted through Google Scholar, SpringerLink, Hindawi, and Taylor & Francis were excluded from the analysis. Consequently, as shown in Table S4, the final searches were carried out using seven selected digital repositories.

Databases	Query statements
IEEE Xplore	("All Metadata": "disease detection" OR "All Metadata": "disease diagnosis" OR "All
	Metadata": "disease prediction" OR "All Metadata": "human healthcare") AND ("All
	Metadata":"automated machine learning" OR "All Metadata":"AutoML")
Scopus	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("disease detection" OR "disease diagnosis" OR "human
	healthcare") AND ("automl" OR "automated machine learning")
Web of Science	ALL= (("disease detection" OR "disease diagnosis" OR "disease prediction" OR
	"human healthcare") AND ("AutoML" OR "automated machine learning"))
PubMed	(("disease detection" OR "disease diagnosis" OR "human healthcare") AND
1 001.100	("AutoML" OR "automated machine learning"))
Acm Digital	[[All: "disease detection"] OR [All: "disease diagnosis"] OR [All: "disease prediction"]
Library	OR [All: "human healthcare"]] AND [[All: "automated machine learning"] OR [All:
Ž	"automl"]]
Wiley Online	""disease detection" OR "disease diagnosis" OR "disease prediction" OR "human
Library	healthcare"" anywhere and ""automated machine learning" OR "automl"" anywhere
ScienceDirect	("disease detection" OR "disease diagnosis" OR "disease prediction" OR "human
Elsevier	healthcare") AND ("AutoML" OR "automated machine learning")

## Table S5 Digital databases filtering results

As a result of the screening process conducted across seven different digital databases from January 2020 to March 2025, studies were filtered based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Initially, 552 studies were identified. Of these, 40 studies published outside the 2020-2025 time frame were excluded. Subsequently, 117 studies not published in peer reviewed journals were removed. An additional 145 studies were excluded for being reviews, books, conference proceedings, posters, editorial notes, or similar publication types. Finally, 7 studies were excluded for not focusing on human diseases. After applying all elimination criteria, 243 studies were retained for full-text review.

	Searching			Excludir	ıg		Screening
Digital Library	Identification	2020- 2025	Journal or not?	Article or not?	Language = English	Humans or not?	Papers
		or not?					
IEEE Xplore	6	0	6	No	No	No	6*
				searching	searching	searching	
				filter	filter	filter	
Scopus	37	1	17	1	0	No	18
						searching	
						filter	
Pubmed	18	4	No	Lots of	0	7	7
			searching	searching			
			filter	filter			
Web of	32	1	No	8	0	No	23
Science (WoS)			searching			searching	
			filter			filter	
ACM Digital	105	6	90	5	No	No	4
Library					searching	searching	
					filter	filter	
Wiley Online	90	14	16	No	No	No	60
Library				searching	searching	searching	
				filter	filter	filter	
ScienceDirect-	264	14	No	125	No	No	125
Elsevier			searching		searching	searching	
			filter		filter	filter	
*IEEE Conferen	nces included	Numbe	r of articles	that underwo	ent full text, k	eyword	243
		and titl	e review				

## **Table S6 Papers and Dataset**

A comprehensive summary of all 24 reviewed papers is presented, including detailed explanations of the proposed prediction models. This thorough overview allows researchers to understand each study in depth, draw comparisons across different approaches, and identify gaps in the existing literature. Following the summaries, the studies are individually analyzed in relation to each research question. Detailed information about the papers and datasets used is provided in Table S6.

#	Papers	Dataset
1	mAML: an automated machine	GMrepo Microbiome Learning repository
	learning pipeline with a microbiome	
	repository for human disease	
	classification (Yang & Zou 2020)	
2	Setting up an Easy-to-Use Machine	Collected from COVID-19-related publications on medRxiv
	Learning Pipeline for Medical	and bioRxiv
	Decision Support: A Case Study for	
	COVID-19 Diagnosis Based on Deep	

	Learning with CT Scans (Sakagianni et	
	al. 2020)	
3	Time Series Analysis and Forecasting	National ICD-10 Database
	with Automated Machine Learning on	
	a National ICD-10 Database	
	(Olsavszky et al. 2020)	
4	Heart disease prediction using hyper	CHD and Z-Alizadeh Sani dataset
	parameter optimization (HPO) tuning	
	(Valarmathi & Sheela 2021)	
5	Benchmarking AutoML frameworks	lung cancer, prostate cancer, RA, T2D, IBD, and CKD
	for disease prediction using medical	Medicare or Commercial plans
	claims (A. Romero et al. 2022)	-
6	Automated Machine Learning for	2015 US BRFSS dataset
	Prediction of Type 2 Diabetes and Its	
	Major Complications: A Comparative	
	Study (Mallikarachchi et al. 2023)	
7	CloudAISim: A toolkit for modelling	UCI Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic), UCI Heart
	and simulation of modern applications	Disease Cleveland dataset, National Institute of Diabetes and
	in AI-driven cloud computing	Digestive and Kidney Diseases, and "Covid-19" (Chowdhury
	environments (Bhowmik et al. 2023)	et al. 2022) dataset
8	Evaluating the performance of	Cleveland Heart Disease and UCI Hungarian Heart disease
	automated machine learning (AutoML)	datasets
	tools for heart disease diagnosis and	
	prediction (Paladino et al. 2023)	
9	Clinical Hematochemical Parameters	A total of 268 pediatric patients (133 diagnosed with SARS-
	in Differential Diagnosis between	CoV-2 and 135 with Influenza) were included in the study
	Pediatric SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza	conducted by the Institute for Child and Youth Health Care of
	Virus Infection: An Automated	Vojvodina
	Machine Learning Approach	
	(Dobrijević et al. 2023)	
10	Explainable coronary artery disease	five distinct data sets: Cleveland (303 observations), Hungary
	prediction model based on AutoGluon	(294 observations), Switzerland (123 observations), VA Long
	from AutoML framework (Wang et al.	Beach (200 observations), and Statlog (270 observations)
	2024a)	
11	Enhanced abnormal data detection hybrid strategy based on heuristic and	A clinical dataset containing exercise data (including Borg
	stochastic approaches for efficient	RPE and TUG indicators) from real patient clinical data is
	patients rehabilitation (Khan et al.	acquired from JNU, Republic of Korea
	2024)	
12	A User-friendly Approach for the	Methods to Evaluate Segmentation and Indexing Techniques
	Diagnosis of Diabetic Retinopathy	in the field of Retinal Ophthalmology (Messidor-2), an open-
	Using ChatGPT and Automated	source dataset
	Machine Learning (Mohammadi &	
	Nguyen 2024)	
13	Machine learning model matters its	UCI Heart Disease Dataset (303 samples, 14 features)
	accuracy: a comparative study of	
	ensemble learning and AutoML using	
	heart disease prediction (Rimal et al.	
	2024)	
14	AutoML-Driven Insights into Patient	DRG database of COVID-19 patients in Romania (825,698
	Outcomes and Emergency Care During	cases of disease)
	Romania's First Wave of COVID-19	
	(Simon et al. 2024)	

15	Enhancing paranasal sinus disease detection with AutoML: efficient AI development and evaluation via magnetic resonance imaging (Cheong et al. 2024)	1313 unique non-TSE T2w MRI head sessions collected by Washington University in St Louis (WUSTL) Knight Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (ADRC) from the OASIS-3 repository
16	Cardiovascular health management in diabetic patients with machine-learning-driven predictions and interventions (Jose et al. 2024)	DSD, which is a clean and organized version of the 2015 BRFSS dataset and DRD, a UCI dataset encompassing a decade (1999–2008) of clinical care data from 130 hospitals across the United States.
17	Few-shot learning to identify atypical endometrial hyperplasia and endometrial cancer based on transvaginal ultrasonic images (Wang et al. 2024b)	Dataset 1 TVU images representing NAEH, AEH, and EC, with 100 samples per class, acquired from the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University.  Dataset 2 consisted of TVU images of NAEH, AEH, and EC, each with 33 instances per category, obtained from the Suzhou Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine.  Dataset 3 included TVU images of normal uterine conditions and uterine myoma, with 300 images per class, sourced from the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University.
18	Predicting rapid progression in knee osteoarthritis: a novel and interpretable automated machine learning approach, with specific focus on young patients and early disease (Castagno et al. 2025)	Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI)
19	A predictive study on HCV using automated machine learning models (Değer & Can 2025)	HCV dataset from UCI Machine Learning Repository
20	A recommender system with multi- objective hybrid Harris Hawk optimization for feature selection and disease diagnosis (Kuanr & Mohapatra 2025)	Diabetic, ILPD, Dermatology, Cervical Cancer, Risk Classification, Breast Cancer W, Cardiotocography, Hepatitis, Heart, Lymphography, COVID-19
21	The Prediction of Recombination Hotspot Based on Automated Machine Learning (Ye et al. 2025)	The S1 dataset comprises 490 recombination hotspots and 591 recombination coldspots. The S2 dataset consists of 3,480 sequence samples representing recombination hotspots and 3,471 sequence samples corresponding to recombination coldspots. The S3 dataset, generated by Liu in 2021, closely resembles the S2 dataset and also originates from budding yeast.
22	UK Biobank MRI data can power the development of generalizable brain clocks: A study of standard ML/DL methodologies and performance analysis on external databases (Capó et al. 2025)	ADNI, and NACC from UK Biobank
23	Decoding Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: Insights from Multi-Fluid Metabolomic Analysis (Xu et al. 2025)	An experimental cohort dataset specifically created for the study, including samples from BPH, LUTS and healthy individuals.
24	Sovereignty in Automated Stroke Prediction and Recommendation System with Explanations and Semantic Reasoning (Chatterjee 2025)	Public stroke dataset: Sample Count 5,110 records (4,861: No stroke [Class 0], 249: Stroke [Class 1])

# Table S7 Summaries of studies by research questions

Table S7 provides detailed summaries of the studies based on the research questions, covering preprocessing steps, denoising and cleaning, feature extraction, prediction models, performance metrics, and hyperparameter optimization (HPO) methods.

Studies	Feature selection	Feature extraction	Remove noise or Denoising	Prediction Model	Performance metrics	Hyperparameter optimization
(Yang & Zou 2020)	distal_DBA, HFE, mRMR, UnivariateFS	ADASYN, SMOTE, RandomOverSa mpler	Normalizer, Scaler, LogIp, Transformer	mAML: tree based classfier, LinearSVC, GaussianNB, LogisticRegress ion, SGD, KNN	Accuracy, F1 score, precision, recall, roc-auc, log loss	Parallel grid search
(Sakagi anni et al. 2020)	Google AutoML Cloud Vision	Google AutoML Cloud Vision	Google AutoML Cloud Vision	Google AutoML Cloud Vision	Recall, precision, roc- auc, F1score	Google AutoML Cloud Vision (name is Vertex AI now)
(Olsavs zky et al. 2020)	Parallel Heuristic Search Process of AutoTS, NUTS 2 Hospital Regions	Derivation Window, Time Series Function, Automatic Feature Derivation	Stationarity, moving averages,	AutoTS	Gamma Deviance,RMS E, R-Squared, MAE, MAPE	-
(Valar mathi & Sheela 2021)	Sequential Forward Selection with ten-fold cross validation	with AutoML	with AutoML	Random Forest model optimized with TPOT	F1-Score, Precision, Sensitivity, Specificity, ROC-AUC	Grid Search, Random Search and Genetic Programming (TPOT Classifier)
(A. Romer o et al. 2022)	with AutoML	with AutoML	with AutoML	Auto-Sklearn, TPOT, H2O.ai AutoML ve Google AutoML	Accuracy, F1 score, sensitivity, and precision	with AutoML
(Mallik arachch i et al. 2023)	ANOVA, chi- squared test, and covariance analyses, Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE)	with AutoML	with AutoML	Traditional ML model, TPOT, H2O (XGBoost)	Accuracy, F1 score, precision, recall,	Grid search cross- validation, Gradient Boosting, Random Forest, and XGBoost.
(Bhow mik et al. 2023)	Featuretools	Featuretools	Exploratory data analysis (EDA) with Pandas Profiling	CloudAISim with Auto-Keras using Google Cloud Platform	Accuracy, F1 score, precision, recall,	AutoKeras hyperparameters optimization
(Paladi no et al. 2023)	With AutoML	With AutoML	removed to ensure data integrity	AutoKeras v1.1.0, PyCaret v3.0, and AutoGluon v0.7.0	Accuracy, F1 score,	Random Forest, AdaBoost, Gradient Boosting, XGBoost with Grid Search

(Dobrij ević et al. 2023)	With AutoML	With AutoML	With AutoML	SVM, RF, LR, kNN, ANN wit Weka and AutoML	Accuracy, Sensitivity Specificity	With AutoML
(Wang et al. 2024a).	With AutoGluon and explainability is ensured with SHAP	With AutoGluon	With AutoGluon	AutoGluon, Amazon Web Services (AWS) with "4 bag- fold"to reduce overfitting and "1 stack-level1 for meta-learner	Accuracy, F1 score, precision, recall, AUC	Automatically implemented within the AutoGluon framework
(Khan et al. 2024)	With AutoML	With AutoML	k-means clustering + IQR (interquartile range) based stochastic filtering.	Heuristic (k- means) + Stochastic (IQR) analysis + AutoML regression process	R2 Score, MAE, MSE, RMSE and MAPE	With AutoML
(Moha mmadi & Nguyen 2024)	R and Python scripts with ChatGPT	R and Python scripts with ChatGPT	CLAHE technique by Fiji software	ChatGPT and Vertex AI is an AutoML	Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive Predictive Value, Negative Predictive Value, F1 Score	Vertex AI
(Rimal et al. 2024)	Separation of Dependent and Independent Variables	Normalization, Fit and Transform (StandardScaler )	mean value imputation and one-hot encoding	SVM, LR, ANN, KNN, RF, GaussianNB, DT, Perceptron, and H2O AutoML	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1- Score, AUC- ROC, LogLoss, Gini, MSE, RMSE	H2O package
(Simon et al. 2024)	Analysis of important variables	New boolean properties added	Removed unnecessary columns, translated from Romanian to English	Light Gradient Boosted and Generalized Linear Model with AutoML	F1 Score, Accuracy, AUC, LogLoss, RMSE, FVE Binomial, Max MCC	Early Stopping, ElasticNet, XGBoost,
(Cheon g et al. 2024)	Selected specific imaging modalities and slices with LabelBox	A single meaningful 2D slice was extracted from the 3D MRI; semantic labels were also extracted by experts (Yes/No).	Visually or radiologically inadequate images were omitted	Google Cloud AutoML Vertex AI	Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy	Google Cloud AutoML Vertex AI

(Jose et	Education with	Label encoding,	Some features	PyCaret library	F1-Score,	Fine-tuning the
al.	less but more	One-hot	have been	1 yearet norary	Accuracy,	max depth
2024)	meaningful data	encoding and	excluded due to		Precision,	hyperparameter to
2024)	meaningrai data	Feature scaling	their complexity		Recall, AUC-	avoid overfitting
		1 carare searing	or the absence of		ROC, Kappa,	uvoid overneing
			data.		MCC	
(Wang	Grey level co-	ResNet50 V2	Masked as the	H2O AutoML,	F1-score	Automatic
et al.	occurrence	and Xception	ROI with Matlab	ResNet-50,	Accuracy,	hyperparameter
2024b)	matrix, Gabor	1*64		Few-shot	recall, precision	tuning and K-fold
	filter, Gauss	eigenvector		learning (FSL),		cross-validation
	Markov			sonographer		
	Random Field,			model		
	Tamura					
	features, grey					
	histogram.					
(Castag	7 feature	7 feature scaling	7 feature selection	23 classification	AUC-PRC,	100 iterations of
no et	selection	algorithms	algorithms with	algorithms with	AUC-ROC, F1	Bayesian
al.	algorithms with	AutoPrognosis	AutoPrognosis	AutoPrognosis	score, Precision,	optimization,
2025)	AutoPrognosis	V.2.0	V.2.0	V.2.0	Recall	KernelSHAP
(Dažar	V.2.0 Automatic	Average	Random Over-	PyCaret,	Aggurgay	hamannanastana
(Değer & Can	feature selection	Derivative (AD)	Sampling (ROS)	H2OAutoML,	Accuracy, precision, recall,	hyperparameters automatically tuned
2025)	with AutoML	and Polynomial	Sampling (KOS)	TPOT,	F1-score,	with AutoML
2023)	With AutoML	Features (PF)		AutoGluon,	1 1-score,	With Autovil
		reatures (11)		FlaML, Mljar,		
				PyTorch		
(Kuanr	MOGA,	PCA, SVD, AE	Top-3 features,	Tree-based	BA, MCC, BM,	TOPSIS, HHO, GA
&	монно,		best classifier, for	Pipeline	MK,	
Mohap	MOGAHHO		the reduced	Optimization	Precision@Top-	
atra			dataset,	Tool (TPOT)	N	
2025)						
(Ye et	Automatic	TF-IDF-Khmer,	Intra-model	AutoGluon	Sensitivity,	AutoML (automatic
al.	(built-in	DNA	importance	(ensemble-	Specificity F1,	optimization of
2025)	methods in	composition	assessments	based models)	MCC, Acc,	AutoGluon)
	L AutoMIL)					
	AutoML)	components	(SHAP)		auPRC, and	
(C-: '	ŕ	-	,	D:1 E1 (	auROC	LACCO - LD'1
(Capó	No statistical or	All MRI	FastSurfer	Ridge, Elastic	auROC MAE, R <sup>2</sup> , MSE,	LASSO and Ridge,
et al.	No statistical or algorithmic	All MRI volumes	FastSurfer compatible format	Nets, LASSO	auROC MAE, R², MSE, Mean PAD per	TPOT and FLAML,
. –	No statistical or algorithmic method for	All MRI volumes harmonized,	FastSurfer compatible format and	Nets, LASSO and OLS,	auROC  MAE, R², MSE,  Mean PAD per bin, corr,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt
et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization,	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML	auROC MAE, R², MSE, Mean PAD per	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al.
et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and	auROC  MAE, R², MSE,  Mean PAD per bin, corr,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt
et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images,	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML	auROC  MAE, R², MSE,  Mean PAD per bin, corr,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al.
et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images, (256³) and 1mm³	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB	auROC  MAE, R², MSE,  Mean PAD per bin, corr,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al.
et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features extracted with	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images,	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB	auROC  MAE, R², MSE,  Mean PAD per bin, corr,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al.
et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features extracted with	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images, (256³) and 1mm³ isotropic voxel	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB	auROC  MAE, R², MSE,  Mean PAD per bin, corr,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al.
et al. 2025)	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection is mentioned.	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features extracted with FastSurfer	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images, (256³) and 1mm³ isotropic voxel used	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB	auROC MAE, R², MSE, Mean PAD per bin, corr, AUROC	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al. 2015)
et al. 2025)	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection is mentioned.  PCA, t-SNE,	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features extracted with FastSurfer	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images, (256³) and 1mm³ isotropic voxel used Polynomial	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB M	auROC  MAE, R², MSE, Mean PAD per bin, corr, AUROC	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al. 2015)
et al. 2025) (Xu et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection is mentioned.  PCA, t-SNE, UMAP,	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features extracted with FastSurfer  Feature Detection with	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images, (256³) and 1mm³ isotropic voxel used  Polynomial fitting, wavelet	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB M  ElasticNet, Lasso and Ridge	auROC  MAE, R², MSE, Mean PAD per bin, corr, AUROC  AUC, Accuracy, F1 Score, Kappa	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al. 2015)  Random search, grid search, and
et al. 2025) (Xu et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection is mentioned.  PCA, t-SNE, UMAP, ElasticNet,	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features extracted with FastSurfer  Feature Detection with LDI-MS,	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images, (256³) and 1mm³ isotropic voxel used  Polynomial fitting, wavelet denoising, mean loading, ComBat, batch	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB M  ElasticNet, Lasso and Ridge regression, and PyCaret AutoML	auROC  MAE, R², MSE, Mean PAD per bin, corr, AUROC  AUC, Accuracy, F1 Score, Kappa Score, MSE, Precision, Recall,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al. 2015)  Random search, grid search, and more advanced methods like Bayesian
et al. 2025) (Xu et al.	No statistical or algorithmic method for feature selection is mentioned.  PCA, t-SNE, UMAP, ElasticNet,	All MRI volumes harmonized, Volumetric brain region features extracted with FastSurfer  Feature Detection with LDI-MS, Intensity and	FastSurfer compatible format and normalization, unsigned 8-bit UCHAR images, (256³) and 1mm³ isotropic voxel used  Polynomial fitting, wavelet denoising, mean loading, ComBat,	Nets, LASSO and OLS, TPOT, FLAML and XGBoost/LGB M  ElasticNet, Lasso and Ridge regression, and PyCaret	auROC  MAE, R², MSE, Mean PAD per bin, corr, AUROC  AUC, Accuracy, F1 Score, Kappa Score, MSE, Precision,	TPOT and FLAML, and Hyperopt (Bergstra et al. 2015)  Random search, grid search, and more advanced methods like

(Chatte rjee 2025)	SelectKBest, LIME	Variance Threshold	Missing data analysis and filling, outlier control.	TPOT (AutoML) VarianceThresh old (0.1) +	Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1- Score, MCC	Genetic algorithm- based TPOT has automated HPO
				DecisionTreeCl assifier		

### Table S8 Dataset, disease, AutoML-ML method and performance

The table summarizes key information from studies reviewed in the paper. "Dataset / Data Type" refers to the datasets and the type of data used in the study. "Disease / Task" indicates the specific disease targeted and the machine learning task performed, such as classification or prediction. "Author (Year)" shows the name of the study's author(s) and its publication year. "ML/AutoML Method" lists the machine learning or automated machine learning methods applied in the study. "Best Accuracy / Performance" presents the highest accuracy or performance metric reported, reflecting the effectiveness of the applied methods.

Dataset / Data	Disease / Task	Author (Year)	ML/AutoML	Best Accuracy /	
Type			Method	Performance	
13 Benchmark	Multi-class	(Yang & Zou	mAML	High performance	
Microbiome	disease	2020)		(exact value not	
Datasets	classification			given)	
Chest CT Scans	COVID-19	(Sakagianni et al.	AutoML	Average precision	
	pneumonia	2020)	(unspecified)	0.932	
	detection				
Romanian	Forecasting top 10	(Olsavszky et al.	AutoTS	High accuracy	
Hospitalization	fatal diseases	2020)		(not specified)	
ICD-10 Time					
Series					
Cleveland Heart	Coronary heart	(Valarmathi &	RF + TPOT	97.52% accuracy	
Disease (CHD)	disease prediction	Sheela 2021)	(Hyperparameter		
Dataset			Optimization)		
Z-Alizadeh Sani	Coronary artery	(Valarmathi &	RF + Random	80.2% (LAD),	
Dataset	stenosis prediction	Sheela 2021)	Search	73.6% (LCX),	
				76.9% (RCA)	
Large-scale	General disease	(A. Romero et al.	TPOT, Auto-	TPOT highest	
Insurance Claims	prediction	2022)	Sklearn, H2O.ai,	accuracy (not	
Data			Google AutoML	specified)	
T2D, CKD, IHD	Type 2 Diabetes,	(Mallikarachchi	Auto-Sklearn,	T2D Auto-	
Datasets	Chronic Kidney	et al. 2023)	TPOT, H2O	Sklearn: 86.8%,	
	Disease, Ischemic			CKD TPOT:	
	Heart Disease				

				99.65%, IHD TPOT: 74.56%
Breast Cancer, Heart Disease, Diabetes, COVID-19	Multi-disease classification	(Bhowmik et al. 2023)	Auto-Keras	96% - 98% accuracy range
Cleveland, Hungarian, Combined (Heart)	Heart Disease	(Paladino et al. 2023)	AutoGluon (78– 86%), PyCaret (65–83%), AutoKeras (54– 83%), Traditional ML (55–60%)	78–86% (AutoGluon)
Hematochemical Parameters (Pediatric Patients)	SARS-CoV-2 vs Influenza infection	(Dobrijević et al. 2023)	AutoML (unspecified)	98.4% accuracy
Combined Heart Disease Datasets	Coronary artery disease prediction	(Wang et al. 2024a)	AutoGluon (ensemble + HPO)	91.67% accuracy, AUC 0.9562
Patient Rehabilitation Data	Health indicators prediction (Borg RPE, TUG)	(Khan et al. 2024)	AutoML + Hybrid (k-means + regression)	R <sup>2</sup> : 98.55% and 98.50%
Diabetic Retinopathy	Image-based diagnosis	(Mohammadi & Nguyen 2024)	AutoML + ChatGPT	92%–95% accuracy
Heart Disease Dataset (303 samples)	Heart disease prediction	(Rimal et al. 2024)	AutoML (GLM best), ANN deep learning	88% (GLM), 89.6% (ANN)
Romania COVID-19 Dataset	COVID-19 outcome prediction	(Simon et al. 2024)	AutoML (unspecified)	F1=96.44%, Accuracy=98.84%
Sinonasal Disease MRI Images	Sinonasal disease detection	(Cheong et al. 2024)	Vertex AI (Google Cloud)	92% accuracy
Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetic Patients	Cardiovascular risk prediction	(Jose et al. 2024)	PyCaret + LightGBM, XGBoost	AUC 0.83 (LightGBM), balanced performance with XGBoost
AEH, NAEH, EC TVU Images	Endometrial disease diagnosis	(Wang et al. 2024b)	H2O AutoML, FSL, ResNet50 V2 + KNN	87.8% accuracy
Knee Osteoarthritis (OA)	OA progression prediction	(Castagno et al. 2025)	AutoML (unspecified)	AUC-PRC 0.727 (multi-class), 0.764 (binary)
Hepatitis C Virus (UCI ML Dataset)	HCV diagnosis	(Değer & Can 2025)	7 different AutoML tools	99.29% - 100% accuracy range

Multiple Disease	General disease	(Kuanr &	TPOT + GA +	Highest accuracy
Datasets (10	prediction	Mohapatra 2025)	ННО	(not specified)
datasets)			(MOGAHHO)	
DNA Sequences	Bioinformatics	(Ye et al. 2025)	AutoGluon	97.14%, 79.71%,
	classification			98.73% accuracy
				rates
Brain Age	Neurodegenerative	(Capó et al.	Penalized linear	AUROC ~0.90
Estimation (UK	disease	2025)	models	
Biobank, ADNI,	biomarkers			
NACC)				
Benign Prostatic	BPH diagnosis	(Xu et al. 2025)	MALDI-MS +	AUC = 0.830
Hyperplasia			Metabolomics +	
(BPH)			AutoML	
Stroke	Stroke	(Chatterjee	TPOT +	95.2% accuracy
	deterioration	2025)	Decision Tree +	
	prediction		Variance	
			Threshold	

Table S9 Binary contributions of selected studies according to research questions

Study	RQ1	RQ2	RQ3	RQ4	RQ5	RQ6	RQ7
	AutoML	Input	Feature	Denoising	Train-	Performance	Hyperparameter
	Methods	Features	Engineering	Methods	Test	Metrics	Tuning
					Split		
(Yang & Zou 2020)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Sakagianni et al. 2020)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
(Olsavszky et al. 2020)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
(Valarmathi & Sheela 2021)	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
(A. Romero et al. 2022)	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
(Mallikarachchi et al. 2023)	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
(Bhowmik et al. 2023)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Paladino et al. 2023)	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
(Dobrijević et al. 2023)	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
(Wang et al. 2024a).	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
(Khan et al. 2024)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Mohammadi & Nguyen 2024)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

(Rimal et al. 2024)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Simon et al. 2024)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Cheong et al. 2024)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Jose et al. 2024)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Wang et al. 2024b)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Castagno et al. 2025)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Değer & Can 2025)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Kuanr & Mohapatra 2025)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Ye et al. 2025)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Capó et al. 2025)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Xu et al. 2025)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(Chatterjee 2025)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1